

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

PARKER-HANNIFIN CORPORATION,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	C.A. No.
)	
S-TECH CO., LTD.,)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
)	
Defendant.)	

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, PARKER-HANNIFIN CORPORATION, as and for its complaint against defendant, S-TECH CO., LTD., alleges as follows:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff, PARKER-HANNIFIN CORPORATION (hereinafter "PARKER"), is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Ohio, having its principal place of business at 6035 Parkland Blvd., Cleveland, Ohio.

2. Defendant, S-TECH CO., LTD. (hereinafter "S-TECH"), is, on information and belief, a corporation organized and existing under the laws of Korea, with its principal place of business at Cangjung-dong, 150-16 Kunpo-si, Kyounggi-do, Korea.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. The jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

4. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and (c), and 1400(b).

THE PATENTS

5. On May 14, 2002, United States Letters Patent No. 6,387,523 (hereinafter the "'523 patent") (attached hereto as Exhibit "A") was duly and legally issued. The '523 patent is owned by

Parker Intangibles LLC, a Delaware limited liability company which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Parker. Parker is the exclusive licensee under the '523 patent, and has the right to sue for past, present, and future infringement of the '523 patent, and further the right to seek injunctive relief and monetary damages.

6. On February 18, 2003, United States Letters Patent No. 6,521,348 (hereinafter the "'348 patent") (attached hereto as Exhibit "B") was duly and legally issued. The '348 patent is owned by Parker Intangibles LLC, a Delaware limited liability company which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Parker. Parker is the exclusive licensee under the '348 patent, and has the right to sue for past, present, and future infringement of the '348 patent, and further the right to seek injunctive relief and monetary damages.

7. On April 6, 2004, United States Letters Patent No. 6,716,536 (hereinafter the "'536 patent") (attached hereto as Exhibit "C") was duly and legally issued. The '536 patent is owned by Parker Intangibles LLC, a Delaware limited liability company which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Parker. Parker is the exclusive licensee under the '536 patent, and has the right to sue for past, present, and future infringement of the '536 patent, and further the right to seek injunctive relief and monetary damages.

8. On August 17, 2004, United States Letters Patent No. 6,777,095 (hereinafter the "'095 patent") (attached hereto as Exhibit "D") was duly and legally issued. The '095 patent is owned by Parker Intangibles LLC, a Delaware limited liability company which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Parker. Parker is the exclusive licensee under the '095 patent, and has the right to sue for past, present, and future infringement of the '095 patent, and further the right to seek injunctive relief and monetary damages.

PATENT INFRINGEMENT

9. S-TECH has been and still is infringing one or more claims of the '523, '348, '536, and/or '095 patents. S-TECH's infringing activities have included direct infringement, contributory infringement and active inducement of infringement within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(a) through (c).

10. S-TECH has committed acts of infringement in disregard of PARKER's rights in the '523, '348, '536, and/or '095 patents. Upon information and belief, S-TECH's infringement has been willful, deliberate and intentional, and will continue, to PARKER's irreparable harm, unless enjoined by this Court.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgment as follows:

A. That S-TECH has infringed U.S. Patent Nos. 6,387,523; 6,521,348; 6,716,536; and/or 6,777,095;


B. That S-TECH be permanently enjoined from further conduct which infringes the '523, '348, '536, or '095 patents;

C. That PARKER be awarded damages adequate to compensate it for S-TECH's infringement, and that the damages be trebled because of the willful nature of S-TECH's infringement, together with interest, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284; and

D. That PARKER be awarded its attorney fees and costs in this action, together with such other relief as this Court deems appropriate.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PARKER hereby requests a trial by jury.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "F. DiGiovanni", followed by the number "#3189" in parentheses. The signature is written over a horizontal line.

Rudolf E. Hutz (#484)
Harold Pezzner (#479)
Francis DiGiovanni (#3189)
Attorneys for Plaintiff
The Nemours Building
1007 N. Orange Street
Wilmington, DE 19899
Phone (302) 658-9141
rhutz@cblh.com
hpezzner@cblh.com
fdigiovanni@cblh.com

Dated: December 8, 2006

(12) **United States Patent**
Bunyan et al.

(10) **Patent No.: US 6,387,523 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent: May 14, 2002**

(54) **FLAME RETARDANT EMI SHIELDING GASKET**

4,871,477 A 10/1989 Dimanshteyn
4,988,550 A 1/1991 Keyser et al.

(75) Inventors: **Michael H. Bunyan**, Chelmsford, MA (US); **William I. Flanders**, Merimack, NH (US)

(List continued on next page.)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

(73) Assignee: **Parker-Hannifin Corporation**, Cleveland, OH (US)

DE 9013936 12/1990
EP 0326441 8/1989
FR 2308030 11/1976
JP 07-176889 * 7/1995

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

(21) Appl. No.: **09/883,785**

(22) Filed: **Jun. 18, 2001**

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 09/250,338, filed on Feb. 16, 1999, now Pat. No. 6,248,393.
(60) Provisional application No. 60/076,370, filed on Feb. 27, 1998.
(51) **Int. Cl.**⁷ **B32B 5/14; B32B 5/18**
(52) **U.S. Cl.** **428/457**
(58) **Field of Search** 428/457

Dye, James M. Compliance Engineering 17(3), pp 138 and 140-150, 2000.*

Copy of the International Application Published Under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in International Patent Application No. PCT/US00/20609 (WO 01/10182) entitled: "Method and Apparatus for Manufacturing A Flame Retardant EMI Gasket".

Kleiner, J. Vinyl Technol. vol. 4 No. 4, Dec. 1982, pp 157-159, 1982.*

Copy of International Search Report for PCT Case No. PCT/US99/04100, Jan. 7, 1999.

Chomerics Parker Hannifin Seals Catalog dated 1997 for Soft-Shield Low Closure Force EMI Gaskets.

Monsanto Electron Metalized Materials dated Sep. 12, 1995.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,178,410 A 12/1979 Tomita
4,396,661 A 8/1983 George et al.
4,447,484 A 5/1984 Slosberg et al.
4,489,126 A 12/1984 Holtrop et al.
4,531,994 A 7/1985 Holtrop et al.
4,540,617 A 9/1985 Kawanishi et al.
4,569,304 A 2/1986 Le Maitre
4,572,960 A 2/1986 Ebneth et al.
4,608,104 A 8/1986 Holtrop et al.
4,621,013 A 11/1986 Holtrop et al.
4,666,765 A 5/1987 Caldwell et al.
4,684,762 A 8/1987 Gladfeiter
4,753,840 A 6/1988 Van Gompel
4,797,171 A 1/1989 Van Gompel
4,857,668 A 8/1989 Buonanno


Primary Examiner—Erma Cameron

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—John A. Molnar, Jr.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A flame retardant, electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding gasket construction. The construction includes a resilient core member formed of a foamed elastomeric material, an electrically-conductive fabric member surrounding the outer surface of the core member, and a flame retardant layer coating at least a portion of the interior surface of the fabric member. The flame retardant layer is effective to afford the gasket construction with a flame class rating of V-0 under Underwriter's Laboratories (UL) Standard No. 94.

8 Claims, 3 Drawing Sheets






US 6,387,523 B2

Page 2

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,009,927 A	4/1991	Cloyd et al.	5,266,354 A	11/1993	Tohyama et al.
5,028,739 A	7/1991	Keyser et al.	5,612,092 A	3/1997	Strenger et al.
5,045,635 A	9/1991	Kaplo et al.	5,614,306 A	3/1997	Jobe et al.
5,089,325 A	2/1992	Covey	5,635,252 A	6/1997	Fraser, Jr. et al.
5,105,056 A	4/1992	Hoge, Jr. et al.	5,641,544 A	6/1997	Melancon et al.
5,122,411 A	6/1992	Iwamoto et al.	5,674,606 A	10/1997	Powers, Jr.
5,202,536 A	4/1993	Buonanno	5,700,532 A	12/1997	Chiou
5,204,403 A	4/1993	Furuta et al.			

* cited by examiner






Fig. 4





Fig. 5



US 6,387,523 B2

1

**FLAME RETARDANT EMI SHIELDING
GASKET****CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED
APPLICATION**

This application is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 09/250,338, filed Feb. 16, 1999, and claiming priority to U.S. provisional application Ser. No. 60/076,370, filed Feb. 27, 1998, which application is to issue as U.S. Pat. No. 6,248,393 entitled "Flame Retardant EMI Shielding Materials and Method of Manufacture," the disclosures of which is expressly incorporated herein by reference.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates broadly to electrically-conductive, flame retardant materials for use in electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding, and to a method of manufacturing the same, and more particularly to an electrically-conductive fabric having a layer of a flame retardant coating applied to one surface thereof for use as a sheathing within an EMI shielding gasket.

The operation of electronic devices including televisions, radios, computers, medical instruments, business machines, communications equipment, and the like is attended by the generation of electromagnetic radiation within the electronic circuitry of the equipment. Such radiation often develops as a field or as transients within the radio frequency band of the electromagnetic spectrum, i.e., between about 10 KHz and 10 GHz, and is termed "electromagnetic interference" or "EMI" as being known to interfere with the operation of other proximate electronic devices.

To attenuate EMI effects, shielding having the capability of absorbing and/or reflecting EMI energy may be employed both to confine the EMI energy within a source device, and to insulate that device or other "target" devices from other source devices. Such shielding is provided as a barrier which is inserted between the source and the other devices, and typically is configured as an electrically conductive and grounded housing which encloses the device. As the circuitry of the device generally must remain accessible for servicing or the like, most housings are provided with openable or removable accesses such as doors, hatches, panels, or covers. Between even the flattest of these accesses and its corresponding mating or faying surface, however, there may be present gaps which reduce the efficiency of the shielding by presenting openings through which radiant energy may leak or otherwise pass into or out of the device. Moreover, such gaps represent discontinuities in the surface and ground conductivity of the housing or other shielding, and may even generate a secondary source of EMI radiation by functioning as a form of slot antenna. In this regard, bulk or surface currents induced within the housing develop voltage gradients across any interface gaps in the shielding, which gaps thereby function as antennas which radiate EMI noise. In general, the amplitude of the noise is proportional to the gap length, with the width of the gap having a less appreciable effect.

For filling gaps within mating surfaces of housings and other EMI shielding structures, gaskets and other seals have been proposed both for maintaining electrical continuity across the structure, and for excluding from the interior of the device such contaminants as moisture and dust. Such seals are bonded or mechanically attached to, or press-fit into, one of the mating surfaces, and function to close any interface gaps to establish a continuous conductive path thereacross by conforming under an applied pressure to

2

irregularities between the surfaces. Accordingly, seals intended for EMI shielding applications are specified to be of a construction which not only provides electrical surface conductivity even while under compression, but which also has a resiliency allowing the seals to conform to the size of the gap. The seals additionally must be wear resistant, economical to manufacture, and capability of withstanding repeated compression and relaxation cycles. For further information on specifications for EMI shielding gaskets, reference may be had to Severinsen, J., "Gaskets That Block EMI," Machine Design, Vol. 47, No. 19, pp. 74-77 (Aug. 7, 1975).

Requirements for typical EMI shielding applications often dictate a low impedance, low profile gasket which is deflectable under normal closure force loads. Other requirements include low cost and a design which provides an EMI shielding effectiveness for both the proper operation of the device and compliance, in the United States, with commercial Federal Communication Commission (FCC) EMC regulations.

A particularly economical gasket construction, which also requires very low closure forces, i.e. less than about 1 lb/inch (0.175 N/mm), is marketed by the Chomerics Division of Parker-Hannifin Corp., Woburn, Mass. under the tradename "Soft-Shield® 5000 Series." Such construction consists of an electrically-conductive jacket or sheathing which is "cigarette" wrapped lengthwise over a polyurethane or other foam core. As is described further in U.S. Pat. No. 4,871,477, polyurethane foams generally are produced by the reaction of polyisocyanate and a hydroxyl-functional polyol in the presence of a blowing agent. The blowing agent effects the expansion of the polymer structure into a multiplicity of open or closed cells.

The jacket is provided as a highly conductive, i.e., about 1 Ω -sq., nickel-plated-silver, woven rip-stop nylon which is self-terminating when cut. Advantageously, the jacket may be bonded to the core in a continuous molding process wherein the foam is blown or expanded within the jacket as the jacket is wrapped around the expanding foam and the foam and jacket are passed through a die and into a traveling molding. Similar gasket constructions are shown in commonly-assigned U.S. Pat. No. 5,028,739 and in U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,857,668; 5,054,635; 5,105,056; and 5,202,536.

Many electronic devices, including PC's and communication equipment, must not only comply with certain FCC requirements, but also must meet be approved under certain Underwriter's Laboratories (UL) standards for flame retardancy. In this regard, if each of the individual components within an electronic device is UL approved, then the device itself does not require separate approval. Ensuring UL approval for each component therefore reduces the cost of compliance for the manufacturer, and ultimately may result in cheaper goods for the consumer. For EMI shielding gaskets, however, such gaskets must be made flame retardant, i.e., achieving a rating of V-0 under UL Std. No. 94, "Tests for Flammability of Plastic Materials for Parts in Devices and Appliances" (1991), without compromising the electrical conductivity necessary for meeting EMI shielding requirements.

In this regard, and particularly with respect to EMI shielding gaskets of the above-described fabric over foam variety, it has long been recognized that foamed polymeric materials are flammable and, in certain circumstances, may present a fire hazard. Owing to their cellular structure, high organic content, and surface area, most foam materials are subject to relatively rapid decomposition upon exposure to fire or high temperatures.

US 6,387,523 B2

3

One approach for imparting flame retardancy to fabric over foam gaskets has been to employ the sheathing as a flame resistant protective layer for the foam. Indeed, V-0 rating compliance purportedly has been achieved by sheathing the foam within an electrically-conductive Ni/Cu-plated fabric to which a thermoplastic sheet is hot nipped or otherwise fusion bonding to the underside thereof. Such fabrics, which may be further described in one or more of U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,489,126; 4,531,994; 4,608,104; and/or 4,621,013, have been marketed by Monsanto Co., St. Louis, under the tradename "Flectron® Ni/Cu Polyester Taffeta V0."

Other fabric over foam gaskets, as is detailed in U.S. Pat. No. 4,857,668, incorporate a supplemental layer or coating applied to the interior surface of the sheath. Such coating may be a flame-retardant urethane formulation which also promotes the adhesion of the sheath to the foam. The coating additionally may function to reduce bleeding of the foam through the fabric which otherwise could compromise the electrical conductivity of the sheath.

In view of the foregoing, it will be appreciated that further improvements in the design of flame retardant, fabric-over-foam EMI shielding gaskets, as well as sheathing materials therefore, would be well-received by the electronics industry. Especially desired would be a flame retardant gasket construction which achieves a UL94 rating of V-0.

BROAD STATEMENT OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is directed to an electrically-conductive, flame retardant material for use in fabric-over-foam EMI shielding gaskets, and to a method of manufacturing the same. In having a layer of a flame retardant coating applied to one side of an electrically-conductive, generally porous fabric, the material of the invention affords UL94 V-0 protection when used as a jacketing in a fabric-over-foam gasket construction. Advantageously, as the flame retardant layer may be wet coated on the fabric without appreciable bleed through, a relatively thin, i.e., 2-4 mil (0.05-0.10 mm), coating layer may be provided on one fabric side without compromising the electrical surface conductivity of the other side. Such a thin coating layer, while being sufficient to provide UL94 V-0 protection, nonetheless maintains the drapability the fabric and thereby facilitates the construction UL94 V-0 compliant gaskets having complex profiles or narrow cross-sections down to about 1 mm.

In a preferred embodiment, the electrically-conductive, flame retardant EMI shielding material of the invention includes a nickel or silver-plated, woven nylon, polyester, or like fabric on one side of which is wet coated a layer of a flame retardant, acrylic latex emulsion or other fluent resin composition. In accordance with the precepts of the method of the invention, the viscosity and hydrodynamic pressure of the emulsion are controlled such that the coating does not penetrate or otherwise "bleed through" the uncoated side of the fabric. The surface conductivity of the opposite side of the fabric therefore is not compromised in EMI shielding applications.

The material of the invention may be employed as a jacket in fabric-over-foam EMI shielding gasket constructions, and is particularly adapted for use in the continuous molding process for such gaskets. As used within such process, the fabric may be wrapped around the foam as a jacket with coated side thereof being disposed as an interior surface adjacent the foam, and the uncoated side being disposed as an electrically-conductive exterior surface. Advantageously,

4

the coating on the interior surface of the jacket blocks the pores of the fabric to retain the foam therein without penetrate or bleed through to the exterior surface. In being formed of a acrylic material, the coated interior surface of the jacket may function, moreover, depending upon the composition of the foam, as a compatibilizing or "tie" interlayer which promotes the bonding of the foam to the fabric.

The present invention, accordingly, comprises material and method possessing the construction, combination of elements, and arrangement of parts and steps which are exemplified in the detailed disclosure to follow. Advantages of the present invention include a flame retardant yet drapable EMI shielding fabric. Additional advantages include an economical, flame retardant EMI shielding fabric construction wherein a relatively thin layer of a flame retardant coating may be wet coated onto one side of an electrically-conductive, woven or other generally porous EMI shielding fabric without compromising the conductivity of the other side of the fabric. These and other advantages will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art based upon the disclosure contained herein.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

For a fuller understanding of the nature and objects of the invention, reference should be had to the following detailed description taken in connection with the accompanying drawings wherein:

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of one embodiment of an EMI shielding material according to the present invention which material includes a generally planar fabric member on one side of which is coated a layer of a flame retardant composition, the view being shown with portions being broken away to better reveal the structure of the material;

FIG. 2 is an enlarged cross-sectional view of the EMI shielding material of FIG. 1 taken through plane represented by line 2-2 of FIG. 1;

FIG. 3 is a top view of the material of FIG. 1 which is magnified to reveal the structure of the fabric member thereof;

FIG. 4 is a perspective cross-sectional view of a length of a representative EMI shielding gasket construction according to the present invention including a jacket which is formed of the EMI shielding material of FIG. 1;

FIG. 5 is an end view of the gasket of FIG. 4 which is magnified to reveal the structure thereof; and

FIG. 6 is a schematic, partially cross-sectional view of an illustrative gravity-fed, knife over roll coater as adapted for use in the manufacture of the EMI shielding material of FIG. 1.

The drawings will be described further in connection with the following Detailed Description of the Invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Certain terminology may be employed in the description to follow for convenience rather than for any limiting purpose. For example, the terms "upper" and "lower" designate directions in the drawings to which reference is made, with the terms "inner" or "interior" and "outer" or "exterior" referring, respectively, to directions toward and away from the center of the referenced element, and the terms "radial" and "axial" referring, respectively, to directions perpendicular and parallel to the longitudinal central axis of the referenced element. Terminology of similar import other

US 6,387,523 B2

5

than the words specifically mentioned above likewise is to be considered as being used for purposes of convenience rather than in any limiting sense.

For the illustrative purposes of the discourse to follow, the electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding material herein involved is described in connection with its use as a flame retardant, electrically-conductive jacket for a foam core, EMI shielding gasket as may be adapted to be received within an interface, such as between a door, panel, hatch, cover, or other parting line of an electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding structure. The EMI shielding structure may be the conductive housing of a computer, communications equipment, or other electronic device or equipment which generates EMI radiation or is susceptible to the effects thereof. The gasket may be bonded or fastened to, or press-fit into one of a pair of mating surfaces which define the interface within the housing, and functions between the mating surfaces to seal any interface gaps or other irregularities. That is, while under an applied pressure, the gasket resiliently conforms to any such irregularities both to establish a continuous conductive path across the interface, and to environmentally seal the interior of the housing against the ingress of dust, moisture, or other contaminants. It will be appreciated, however, that aspects of the present invention may find utility in other EMI shielding applications. Use within those such other applications therefore should be considered to be expressly within the scope of the present invention.

Referring then to the figures, wherein corresponding reference characters are used to designate corresponding elements throughout the several views, a flame retardant EMI shielding material according to the present invention is shown generally at **10** in FIG. **1** as generally adapted for use as a jacket within for a foam core gasket construction. For purposes of illustration, material sheet **10** is shown to be of indefinite dimensions which may be cut to size for the particular application envisioned. In basic construction, material **10** includes an upper, generally planar and porous fabric member, **12**, and a lower, flame retardant coating member, **14**.

Fabric member has at least an electrically-conductive first side, **16**, and a conductive or non-conductive second side, **18**, defining a thickness dimension, referenced at " t_1 " in the cross-sectional view of FIG. **2**, which may vary from about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm). By "electrically-conductive," it is meant that the fabric may be rendered conductive, i.e., to a surface resistivity of about 0.1 Ω /sq. or less, by reason of its being constructed of electrically-conductive wire, monofilaments, yarns or other fibers or, alternatively, by reason of a treatment such as a plating or sputtering being applied to non-conductive fibers to provide an electrically-conductive layer thereon. Preferred electrically-conductive fibers include Monel nickel-copper alloy, silver-plated copper, nickel-clad copper, Ferrex® tin-plated copper-clad steel, aluminum, tin-clad copper, phosphor bronze, carbon, graphite, and conductive polymers. Preferred non-conductive fibers include cotton, wool, silk, cellulose, polyester, polyamide, nylon, and polyimide monofilaments or yarns which are rendered electrically conductive with a metal plating of copper, nickel, silver, nickel-plated-silver, aluminum, tin, or an alloy thereof. As is known, the metal plating may applied to individual fiber strands or to the surfaces of the fabric after weaving, knitting, or other fabrication.

While fabrics such as wire meshes, knits, and non-woven cloths and webs may find application, a preferred fabric construction for member **12** is a plain weave nylon or

6

polyester cloth which is made electrically conductive with between about 20–40% by weight based on the total fabric weight, i.e., 0.01–0.10 g/in², of a silver, nickel-silver, or silver-nickel over copper plating. As may be seen in the magnified view of FIG. **1** referenced at **20** in FIG. **3**, such cloth is permeable in having a plain, generally square weave pattern with pores or openings, one of which is referenced at **22**, being defined between the fibers which are represented schematically at **24**. Fibers **24** may be yarns, monofilaments or, preferably, bundles of from about 10–20 filaments or threads, each having a diameter of between about 10–50 μ m. For example, with fibers **24** each being a bundle of such threads with a thread count of between about 1000–3000 per inch and a weave count of between about 1000–1500 per inch, 1000–2000 openings per inch will be defined with a mean average pore size of between about 0.5–2 mils (12.5–50 μ m).

Although a plain, square weave pattern such as a taffeta, tabby, or ripstop is considered preferred, other weaves such as satins, twills, and the like also should be considered within the scope of the invention herein involved. A particularly preferred cloth for fabric member **12** is a 4 mil (0.10 mm) thick, 1.8 oz/yd² weight, silver-plated, woven nylon which is marketed commercially under the designation "31EN RIPSTOP" by Swift Textile Metalizing Corp., Bloomfield, Conn. However, depending upon the needs of the specific shielding application, a fabric constructed of a combination or blend of conductive and nonconductive fibers alternatively may be employed. Examples of fabrics woven, braided, or warp knitted from electrically-conductive fibers, or from blends of conductive and non-conductive fibers, are described in Gladfelter, U.S. Pat. No. 4,684,762, and in Buonanno, U.S. Pat. No. 4,857,668.

Returning to FIGS. **1** and **2**, coating member **14** preferably is formed from a curable layer of a fluent, flame retardant resin or other composition which is wet coated onto the second side **18** of fabric member **12**. As is detailed hereinafter, the viscosity and hydrodynamic pressure of the resin composition are controlled in accordance with the precepts of the present invention to delimit the penetration of the resin layer to a depth, referenced at " d " in FIG. **2**, which is less than the thickness dimension t_1 of the fabric member **12**. In this regard, when the layer is cured to form the flame retardant surface coating member **14** on the second side **18** of fabric member **12**, the first side **16** thereof remains electrically-conductive. In a preferred construction, the layer is coated to a wet thickness of about 10 mils (0.25 mm), and then cured to a dried coating or film thickness, referenced at t_2 in FIG. **2**, of between about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm) at a depth d of about 12 mils (0.025–0.05 mm). Ultimately, a total material thickness, referenced at " T ," of between about 6–7 mils (0.15–0.20 mm) and a dried weight pickup of between about 100–150 g/yd² are observed. By "cured" it is meant that the resin is polymerized, cross-linked, further cross-linked or polymerized, vulcanized, hardened, dried, volatilized, or otherwise chemically or physically changed from a liquid or other fluent form into a solid polymeric or elastomeric phase.

The flame retardant composition preferably is formulated as an aqueous emulsion of an acrylic latex emulsion which is adjusted to a total solids of about 60% and a Brookfield viscosity (#5 spindle, 4 speed) of between about 40,000–60,000 cps, at a density of about 10 lbs per gallon (1.8 g/cm³). Flame retardancy may be imparted by loading the emulsion with between about 30–50% by weight of one or more conventional flame retardant additives such as aluminum hydrate, antimony trioxide, phosphate esters, or halogenated

US 6,387,523 B2

7

compounds such as polybrominated diphenyl oxides. A preferred formulation is a mixture of about 25% by weight, based on the total weight of the emulsion, of decabromodiphenyl oxide and about 15% by weight of one or more antimony compounds. In operation, should the acrylic carrier phase be ignited, the decomposition of the halogenated and metal oxide compounds function to chemically deprive the flame of sufficient oxygen to support combustion. The decomposition of the acrylic phase additionally may lead to the development of a protective, i.e., thermally-insulative or refractory, outer char layer.

A preferred flame retardant, acrylic latex emulsion is marketed commercially by Heveatex Corp., Fall River, Mass., under the designation "4129FR." The viscosity of the emulsion may be adjusted to between about 40,000–60,000 cps using an aqueous acryloid gel or other acrylic thickener. In this regard, the increased viscosity of the emulsion contributes to delimiting the penetration of the coating layer into the fabric member. However, as this relatively high viscosity may lead to undesirable porosity in the dried film, the emulsion additionally may be modified to reduce air entrapment and bubble formation in the coating layer with up to about 1% by weight of one or more commercial surfactants such as "Bubble Breaker" by Witco Chemical Corp. (Chicago, Ill.) and "Foam Master Antifoam" by Diamond Shamrock, Inc. (San Antonio, Tex.).

As aforementioned, EMI shielding material **10** of the present invention is particularly adapted for use as a flame retardant, electrically-conductive jacket which is provided over a foam core in an EMI shielding gasket construction such as gasket **50** of FIG. 4. In a representative embodiment, gasket **50** includes an elongate, resilient foam core member, **52**, which may be of an indefinite length. Core member **52** has an outer circumferential surface, **54**, defining the cross-sectional profile of gasket **50** which, for illustrative purposes, is of a generally polygonal, i.e., square or rectangular geometry. Other plane profiles, such as circular, semi-circular, or elliptical, or complex profiles may be substituted, however, depending upon the geometry of the interface to be sealed. Core member **12** may be of any radial or diametric extent, but for most applications will have a diametric extent or width of from about 0.25 inch (0.64 cm) to 1 inch (2.54 cm).

For affording gap-filling capabilities, it is preferred that core member **52** is provided to be complaint over a wide range of temperatures, and to exhibit good compression-relaxation hysteresis even after repeated cyclings or long compressive dwells. Core member **52** therefore may be formed of a foamed elastomeric thermoplastic such as a polyethylene, polypropylene, polypropylene-EPDM blend, butadiene, styrene-butadiene, nitrile, chlorosulfonate, or a foamed neoprene, urethane, or silicone. Preferred materials of construction include open or closed cell urethanes or blends such as a polyolefin resin/monoolefin copolymer blend, or a neoprene, silicone, or nitrile sponge rubber.

Core member **52** may be provided as an extruded or molded foam profile over which shielding material **10** is wrapped as a sheathed, with the edges of sheathed being overlapped as at **56**. In a preferred construction, shielding material **10** is bonded to the core member **52** in a continuous molding process wherein the foam is blown or expanded within the shielding material. As may be seen best with reference to the magnified view of FIG. 4 referenced at **60** in FIG. 5, in such construction coating member **14** is disposed adjacent core member **52** as an interior surface, **62**, of shielding member **10**, with the uncoated side **16** of fabric member **12** being oppositely disposed as an electrically-

8

conductive exterior surface, **64**, of the gasket **50**. It will be appreciated that the coated interior surface **62** blocks the pores **22** (FIG. 3) of the fabric member **12** of the fabric to retain the blown foam therein without penetrate or bleed through to the exterior gasket surface **64**. Depending upon the respective compositions of the foam and coating, the interior surface **62** may function, moreover, as a compatibilizing or "tie" interlayer which promotes the bonding of the foam to the fabric. Gasket construction **50** advantageously provides a structure that may be used in very low closure force, i.e. less than about 1 lb/inch (0.175 N/mm), applications.

Referring again to FIG. 4, an adhesive layer, **70**, may be applied along the lengthwise extent of gasket **50** to the underside of exterior surface **64** for the attachment of the gasket to a substrate. Such layer **70** preferably is formulated to be of a pressure sensitive adhesive (PSA) variety. As is described in U.S. Pat. No. 4,988,550, suitable PSA's for EMI shielding applications include formulations based on silicones, neoprene, styrene butadiene copolymers, acrylics, acrylates, polyvinyl ethers, polyvinyl acetate copolymers, polyisobutylenes, and mixtures, blends, and copolymers thereof. Acrylic-based formulations, however, generally are considered to be preferred for the EMI applications of the type herein involved. Although PSA's are preferred for adhesive layer **70**, other adhesives such as epoxies and urethanes may be substituted and, accordingly, are to be considered within the scope of the present invention. Heat-fusible adhesives such as hotmelts and thermoplastic films additionally may find applicability.

Inasmuch as the bulk conductivity of gasket **50** is determined substantially through its surface contact with the substrate, an electrically-conductive PSA may be preferred to ensure optimal EMI shielding performance. Such adhesives conventionally are formulated as containing about 1–25% by weight of a conductive filler to yield a volume resistivity of from about 0.01–0.001 Ω -cm. The filler may be incorporated in the form of particles, fibers, flakes, microspheres, or microballoons, and may range in size of from about 1–100 microns. Typically filler materials include inherently conductive material such as metals, carbon, and graphite, or nonconductive materials such as plastic or glass having a plating of a conductive material such as a noble metal or the like. In this regard, the means by which the adhesive is rendered electrically conductive is not considered to be a critical aspect of the present invention, such that any means achieving the desired conductivity and adhesion are to be considered suitable.

For protecting the outer portion of adhesive layer **70** which is exposed on the exterior surface of the gasket, a release sheets, shown at **72**, may be provided as removably attached to the exposed adhesive. As is common in the adhesive art, release sheet **72** may be provided as strip of a waxed, siliconized, or other coated paper or plastic sheet or the like having a relatively low surface energy so as to be removable without appreciable lifting of the adhesive from the exterior surface **64**.

In the production of commercial quantities of the EMI shielding material **10** of the present invention, the viscosity adjusted and otherwise modified acrylic latex emulsion or other resin composition may be coated and cured on one side the fabric member **12** by a direct wet process such as knife over roll or slot die. With whatever process is employed, the hydrodynamic pressure of the resin composition is controlled in accordance with the precepts of the present invention to delimit the penetration of the resin layer to a depth which is less than the thickness dimension of the fabric

US 6,387,523 B2

9

member. For example, and with reference to FIG. 6 wherein the head of a representative gravity-fed knife over roll coater is shown somewhat schematically at **100**, porous, i.e., permeable, fabric member **12** is conveyed from a feed roll or the like (not shown) over a nip roller, **102**, which rotates in the direction referenced by arrow **104**. With the first side **16** of fabric member **12** supported on roller **102**, the fabric second side **18** is passed beneath the opening, referenced at **106**, of a coating trough, **108**. Trough **108** is defined by a front plate, **110**, a back plate, **112**, and a pair of side plates (not shown).

The emulsion or other fluent resin composition, referenced at **114**, is pumped or otherwise transported into trough **108** which is filled to a fluid level, referenced at **h**. For a given fluid density, this level **h** is controlled such that the hydrodynamic pressure at the fabric-liquid interface is maintained within preset limits. For example, with a fluid density of about 10 pounds per gallon (1.8 g/cm³), and a fabric having a porosity of about 1000–2000 openings per inch with a mean average pore size of between about 0.5–2 mils (12.5–50 μ m), the fluid level **H** is controlled at about 4 inches (10 cm) to yield a hydrodynamic pressure of about 0.05 psi (0.35 kPa) at the fabric-liquid interface. For other coating processes, the hydrodynamic fluid pressure may be controlled, for example, by a pumping pressure or the like.

In the illustrative knife-over-roll coating process, the lower edge, **120**, of front plate **110** defines a knife surface which is shimmed or otherwise spaced-apart a predetermined distance from the second side **18** of fabric member **12**. Such spacing provides a clearance or gap, referenced at “**g**,” of typically about 10 mils (0.25 mm), but which is adjustable to regulate the thickness of the liquid coating layer, **122**, being applied to the fabric member. From roller **104**, the coated fabric member **12** may be conveyed via a take-up roller arrangement (not shown) through a in-line oven or the like to dry or flash the water or other diluent in the liquid coating layer **122**, or to otherwise cure the liquid coating layer **122** in developing an adherent, tack-free, film or other layer of coating member **14** (FIG. 1) on the single side **18** of fabric member **12**.

The Example to follow, wherein all percentages and proportions are by weight unless otherwise expressly indicated, is illustrative of the practicing of the invention herein involved, but should not be construed in any limiting sense.

EXAMPLE

Representative EMI shielding materials according to the present invention were constructed for characterization. In this regard, a master batch of a flame retardant coating composition was compounded using an acrylic latex emulsion (Heveatex “4129FR”). The viscosity of the emulsion was adjusted to a Brookfield viscosity (#4 spindle, 40 speed) of about 60,000 cps with about 5 wt % of an acryloid thickener (AcrySol™ GS, Monsanto Co., St. Louis, Mo.). The modified emulsion had a total solids content of about 60% by weight, a density of about 10 pounds per gallon (1.8 g/cm³), and a pH of between about 7.5 and 9.5.

The emulsion was applied using a knife over roll coater (JETZONE Model 7319, Wolverine Corp., Merrimac, Mass.) to one side of a silver-plated nylon fabric (Swift “31EN RIPSTOP”) having a thickness of about 4 mils (0.1 mm). With the fluid level in the coating trough of the coater maintained at about 4 inch (10 cm), the emulsion was delivered to the surface of the cloth at a hydrodynamic pressure of about 0.05 psi (0.35 kPa). The coating knife was

10

shimmed to a 10 mil (0.25 mm) gap above the fabric to yield a wet coating draw down thickness of about 10 mils. Following an oven curing at 100–125° C. for 5 minutes, a dried coating or film thickness of about 2.5 mils (0.635 mm) was obtained with a weight pickup of about 130–145 g/yd² and a total material thickness of between about 6–7 mils (0.15–0.18 mm). An inspection of the coated fabric cloth revealed a coating penetration depth of about 1–2 mils (0.02–0.05 mm) providing acceptable mechanical retention and/or adhesion of the coating onto the fabric surface. The opposite side of the fabric, however, was observed to be substantially coating free, and to retain a surface resistivity of about 0.1 Ω /sq for unaffected EMI shielding effectiveness.

Fabric samples similarly coated in the manner described were subjected to an in-house vertical flame test. No burning was observed at dried film thickness of 2, 3, or 4 mils (0.05, 0.08, 0.10 mm). Accordingly, a reasonable operating window of film thickness was suggested for production runs.

Samples also were provided, as jacketed over a polyurethane foam core in an EMI shielding gasket construction, for flame testing by Underwriters Laboratories, Inc., Melville, N.Y. A flame class rating of V-0 under UL94 was assigned at a minimum thickness of 1.0 mm. The gasket construction therefore was found to be compliant with the applicable UL requirements, and was approved to bear the “UL” certification mark.

The foregoing results confirm that the EMI shielding material of the present invention affords UL94 V-0 protection when used as a jacketing in a fabric-over-foam gasket construction. Unexpectedly, it was found that a relatively porous or permeable fabric may be wet coated on one side with a relatively thin, i.e., 2–4 mil (0.05–0.10 mm), coating layer of a flame retardant composition without compromising the electrical surface conductivity of the other side. Such a thin coating layer, while being sufficient to provide UL94 V-0 protection in a conventional fabric-over-foam gasket construction, nonetheless maintains the drapability the fabric and thereby facilitates the fabrication of UL94 V-0 compliant gaskets having complex profiles or narrow cross-sections down to about 1 mm.

As it is anticipated that certain changes may be made in the present invention without departing from the precepts herein involved, it is intended that all matter contained in the foregoing description shall be interpreted as illustrative and not in a limiting sense. All references cited herein are expressly incorporated by reference.

What is claimed is:

1. A flame retardant, electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding gasket comprising:

a resilient core member extending lengthwise along a central longitudinal axis and having an outer surface extending circumferentially about said longitudinal axis, said core member being formed of a foamed elastomeric material;

an electrically-conductive fabric member surrounding the outer surface of said core member, said fabric member having an interior surface disposed facing the outer surface of said core member and an oppositely-facing exterior surface at least the exterior surface being electrically-conductive and the exterior surface defining with the interior surface a thickness dimension of the fabric member therebetween; and

US 6,387,523 B2

11

a flame retardant layer coating at least a portion of the interior surface of said fabric member, said flame retardant layer penetrating into said fabric member to a depth which is less than the thickness dimension of said fabric member such that the exterior surface of said fabric member remains electrically-conductive. 5

2. The gasket of claim 1 wherein said flame retardant layer has a thickness of between about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm).

3. The gasket of claim 1 wherein said flame retardant layer is formed as a cured film of a flame retardant acrylic latex emulsion. 10

4. The gasket of claim 1 wherein said fabric member is a metal-plated cloth.

5. The gasket of claim 4 wherein said cloth comprises fibers selected from the group consisting of cotton, wool, silk, cellulose, polyester, polyamide, nylon, and combinations thereof, and said metal is selected from the group 15

12

consisting of copper, nickel, silver, nickel-plated-silver, aluminum, tin, and combinations thereof.

6. The gasket of claim 1 wherein said foamed elastomeric material is selected from the group consisting of polyethylenes, polypropylenes, polypropylene-EPDM blends, butadienes, styrene-butadienes, nitrites, chlorosulfonates, neoprenes, urethanes, silicones, and polyolefin resin/monoolefin copolymer blends, and combinations thereof.

7. The gasket of claim 1 wherein said fabric member has a thickness of between about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm).

8. The gasket of claim 1 wherein said flame retardant layer is effective to afford the gasket a flame class rating of V-0 under Underwriter's Laboratories (UL) Standard No. 94.

* * * * *

(12) **United States Patent**
Bunyan et al.

(10) **Patent No.: US 6,521,348 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent: *Feb. 18, 2003**

(54) **FLAME RETARDANT EMI SHIELDING GASKET**

(75) Inventors: **Michael H. Bunyan**, Chelmsford, MA (US); **William I. Flanders**, Merimack, NH (US)

(73) Assignee: **Parker-Hannifin Corp.**, Cleveland, OH (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

4,531,994 A 7/1985 Holtrop et al.
4,540,617 A 9/1985 Kawanishi et al.
4,569,304 A 2/1986 Le Maitre
4,572,960 A 2/1986 Ebnet et al.
4,608,104 A 8/1986 Holtrop et al.
4,621,013 A 11/1986 Holtrop et al.

(List continued on next page.)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE	9013936	12/1990
EP	0326441	8/1989
FR	2308030	11/1976
JP	07-176889	* 7/1995
WO	WO 00/23513	* 4/2000
WO	WO 01/10182	* 2/2001

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Dye, James M. Compliance Engineering 17(3), pp 138 and 140-145, 2000.*
Kleiner, J. Vinyl Technol. vol. 4 No. 4, Dec. 1982, pp 157-159, 1982.*
Copy of the International Application Published Under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in International Patent Application No. PCT/US00/20609 (WO 01/10182) entitled: "Method and Apparatus for Manufacturing A Flame Retardant EMI Shielding Gasket".

(List continued on next page.)

Primary Examiner—Erma Cameron

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—John A. Molnar, Jr.

(57) ABSTRACT

A flame retardant, electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding gasket construction. The construction includes a resilient core member formed of a foamed elastomeric material, an electrically-conductive fabric member surrounding the outer surface of the core member, and a flame retardant layer coating at least a portion of the interior surface of the fabric member. The flame retardant layer is effective to afford the gasket construction with a flame class rating of V-0 under Underwriter's Laboratories (UL) Standard No. 94.

18 Claims, 3 Drawing Sheets

(21) Appl. No.: **10/142,803**

(22) Filed: **May 9, 2002**

(65) Prior Publication Data

US 2002/0125026 A1 Sep. 12, 2002

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 09/883,785, filed on Jun. 18, 2001, now Pat. No. 6,387,523, which is a continuation of application No. 09/250,338, filed on Feb. 16, 1999, now Pat. No. 6,428,393.

(60) Provisional application No. 60/076,370, filed on Feb. 27, 1998.

(51) **Int. Cl.**⁷ **B32B 5/14**; **B32B 5/18**; **H05K 9/00**


(52) **U.S. Cl.** **428/457**; **361/818**

(58) **Field of Search** **427/77**; **361/818**; **428/457**

(56) References Cited

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,178,410 A	12/1979	Tomita
4,396,661 A	8/1983	George et al.
4,447,484 A	5/1984	Slosberg et al.
4,489,126 A	12/1984	Holtrop et al.



US 6,521,348 B2

Page 2

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS




4,666,765 A 5/1987 Caldwell et al.
 4,684,762 A 8/1987 Gladfeiter
 4,753,840 A 6/1988 Van Gompel
 4,797,171 A 1/1989 Van Gompel
 4,857,668 A 8/1989 Buonanno
 4,871,477 A 10/1989 Dimanshteyn
 4,988,550 A 1/1991 Keyser et al.
 5,009,927 A 4/1991 Cloyd et al.
 5,028,739 A 7/1991 Keyser et al.
 5,045,635 A 9/1991 Kaplo et al.
 5,089,325 A 2/1992 Covey
 5,105,056 A 4/1992 Hoge, Jr. et al.
 5,122,411 A 6/1992 Iwamoto et al.
 5,202,536 A 4/1993 Buonanno
 5,204,403 A 4/1993 Furuta et al.
 5,266,354 A 11/1993 Tohyama et al.

5,612,092 A 3/1997 Strenger et al.
 5,614,306 A 3/1997 Jobe et al.
 5,635,252 A 6/1997 Fraser, Jr. et al.
 5,641,544 A 6/1997 Melancon et al.
 5,674,606 A 10/1997 Powers, Jr.
 5,700,532 A 12/1997 Chiou
 2001/0028558 A1 * 10/2001 Rapp et al. 361/818

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Chomerics Parker Hannifin Seals Catalog dated 1997 for
 Soft-Shield Low Closure Force EMI Gaskets.
 Monsanto Electron Metalized Materials dated Sep. 12, 1995.
 Copy of International Search Report for PCT Case No.
 PCT/US99/04100, Jan. 7, 1999.
 Underwriters Laboratories, Inc. letter dated Sep. 20, 1995.

* cited by examiner






Fig. 4





Fig. 5



US 6,521,348 B2

1

FLAME RETARDANT EMI SHIELDING GASKET

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

This application is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 09/883,785, filed Jun. 18, 2001, which application is to issue as U.S. Pat. No. 6,387,523; which is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 09/250,338, filed Feb. 16, 1999, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,428,393 and claiming priority to U.S. provisional application Serial No. 60/076,370, filed Feb. 27, 1998, the disclosure of each of which is expressly incorporated herein by reference.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates broadly to electrically-conductive, flame retardant materials for use in electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding, and to a method of manufacturing the same, and more particularly to an electrically-conductive fabric having a layer of a flame retardant coating applied to one surface thereof for use as a sheathing within an EMI shielding gasket.

The operation of electronic devices including televisions, radios, computers, medical instruments, business machines, communications equipment, and the like is attended by the generation of electromagnetic radiation within the electronic circuitry of the equipment. Such radiation often develops as a field or as transients within the radio frequency band of the electromagnetic spectrum, i.e., between about 10 KHz and 10 GHz, and is termed "electromagnetic interference" or "EMI" as being known to interfere with the operation of other proximate electronic devices.

To attenuate EMI effects, shielding having the capability of absorbing and/or reflecting EMI energy may be employed both to confine the EMI energy within a source device, and to insulate that device or other "target" devices from other source devices. Such shielding is provided as a barrier which is inserted between the source and the other devices, and typically is configured as an electrically conductive and grounded housing which encloses the device. As the circuitry of the device generally must remain accessible for servicing or the like, most housings are provided with openable or removable accesses such as doors, hatches, panels, or covers. Between even the flattest of these accesses and its corresponding mating or faying surface, however, there may be present gaps which reduce the efficiency of the shielding by presenting openings through which radiant energy may leak or otherwise pass into or out of the device. Moreover, such gaps represent discontinuities in the surface and ground conductivity of the housing or other shielding, and may even generate a secondary source of EMI radiation by functioning as a form of slot antenna. In this regard, bulk or surface currents induced within the housing develop voltage gradients across any interface gaps in the shielding, which gaps thereby function as antennas which radiate EMI noise. In general, the amplitude of the noise is proportional to the gap length, with the width of the gap having a less appreciable effect.

For filling gaps within mating surfaces of housings and other EMI shielding structures, gaskets and other seals have been proposed both for maintaining electrical continuity across the structure, and for excluding from the interior of the device such contaminants as moisture and dust. Such seals are bonded or mechanically attached to, or press-fit into, one of the mating surfaces, and function to close any interface gaps to establish a continuous conductive path

2

thereacross by conforming under an applied pressure to irregularities between the surfaces. Accordingly, seals intended for EMI shielding applications are specified to be of a construction which not only provides electrical surface conductivity even while under compression, but which also has a resiliency allowing the seals to conform to the size of the gap. The seals additionally must be wear resistant, economical to manufacture, and capability of withstanding repeated compression and relaxation cycles. For further information on specifications for EMI shielding gaskets, reference may be had to Severinsen, J., "Gaskets That Block EMI," Machine Design, Vol. 47, No. 19, pp. 74-77 (Aug. 7, 1975).

Requirements for typical EMI shielding applications often dictate a low impedance, low profile gasket which is deflectable under normal closure force loads. Other requirements include low cost and a design which provides an EMI shielding effectiveness for both the proper operation of the device and compliance, in the United States, with commercial Federal Communication Commission (FCC) EMC regulations.

A particularly economical gasket construction, which also requires very low closure forces, i.e. less than about 1 lb/inch (0.175 N/mm), is marketed by the Chomerics Division of Parker-Hannifin Corp., Woburn, Mass. under the tradename "Soft-Shield® 5000 Series." Such construction consists of an electrically-conductive jacket or sheathing which is "cigarette" wrapped lengthwise over a polyurethane or other foam core. As is described further in U.S. Pat. No. 4,871,477, polyurethane foams generally are produced by the reaction of polyisocyanate and a hydroxyl-functional polyol in the presence of a blowing agent. The blowing agent effects the expansion of the polymer structure into a multiplicity of open or closed cells.

The jacket is provided as a highly conductive, i.e., about 1 Ω-sq., nickel-plated-silver, woven rip-stop nylon which is self-terminating when cut. Advantageously, the jacket may be bonded to the core in a continuous molding process wherein the foam is blown or expanded within the jacket as the jacket is wrapped around the expanding foam and the foam and jacket are passed through a die and into a traveling molding. Similar gasket constructions are shown in commonly-assigned U.S. Pat. No. 5,028,739 and in U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,857,668; 5,054,635; 5,105,056; and 5,202,536.

Many electronic devices, including PC's and communication equipment, must not only comply with certain FCC requirements, but also must meet be approved under certain Underwriter's Laboratories (UL) standards for flame retardancy. In this regard, if each of the individual components within an electronic device is UL approved, then the device itself does not require separate approval. Ensuring UL approval for each component therefore reduces the cost of compliance for the manufacturer, and ultimately may result in cheaper goods for the consumer. For EMI shielding gaskets, however, such gaskets must be made flame retardant, i.e., achieving a rating of V-0 under UL Std. No. 94, "Tests for Flammability of Plastic Materials for Parts in Devices and Appliances" (1991), without compromising the electrical conductivity necessary for meeting EMI shielding requirements.

In this regard, and particularly with respect to EMI shielding gaskets of the above-described fabric over foam variety, it has long been recognized that foamed polymeric materials are flammable and, in certain circumstances, may present a fire hazard. Owing to their cellular structure, high organic content, and surface area, most foam materials are

US 6,521,348 B2

3

subject to relatively rapid decomposition upon exposure to fire or high temperatures.

One approach for imparting flame retardancy to fabric over foam gaskets has been to employ the sheathing as a flame resistant protective layer for the foam. Indeed, V-0 rating compliance purportedly has been achieved by sheathing the foam within an electrically-conductive Ni/Cu-plated fabric to which a thermoplastic sheet is hot nipped or otherwise fusion bonding to the underside thereof. Such fabrics, which may be further described in one or more of U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,489,126; 4,531,994; 4,608,104; and/or 4,621,013, have been marketed by Monsanto Co., St. Louis, under the tradename "Electron® Ni/Cu Polyester Taffeta V0."

Other fabric over foam gaskets, as is detailed in U.S. Pat. No. 4,857,668, incorporate a supplemental layer or coating applied to the interior surface of the sheath. Such coating may be a flame-retardant urethane formulation which also promotes the adhesion of the sheath to the foam. The coating additionally may function to reduce bleeding of the foam through the fabric which otherwise could compromise the electrical conductivity of the sheath.

In view of the foregoing, it will be appreciated that further improvements in the design of flame retardant, fabric-over foam EMI shielding gaskets, as well as sheathing materials therefore, would be well-received by the electronics industry. Especially desired would be a flame retardant gasket construction which achieves a UL94 rating of V-0.

BROAD STATEMENT OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is directed to an electrically-conductive, flame retardant material for use in fabric-over-foam EMI shielding gaskets, and to a method of manufacturing the same. In having a layer of a flame retardant coating applied to one side of an electrically-conductive, generally porous fabric, the material of the invention affords UL94 V-0 protection when used as a jacketing in a fabric-over-foam gasket construction. Advantageously, as the flame retardant layer may be wet coated on the fabric without appreciable bleed through, a relatively thin, i.e., 2-4 mil (0.05-0.10 mm), coating layer may be provided on one fabric side without compromising the electrical surface conductivity of the other side. Such a thin coating layer, while being sufficient to provide UL94 V-0 protection, nonetheless maintains the drapability the fabric and thereby facilitates the construction UL94 V-0 compliant gaskets having complex profiles or narrow cross-sections down to about 1 mm.

In a preferred embodiment, the electrically-conductive, flame retardant EMI shielding material of the invention includes a nickel or silver-plated, woven nylon, polyester, or like fabric on one side of which is wet coated a layer of a flame retardant, acrylic latex emulsion or other fluent resin composition. In accordance with the precepts of the method of the invention, the viscosity and hydrodynamic pressure of the emulsion are controlled such that the coating does not penetrate or otherwise "bleed through" the uncoated side of the fabric. The surface conductivity of the opposite side of the fabric therefore is not compromised in EMI shielding applications.

The material of the invention may be employed as a jacket in fabric-over-foam EMI shielding gasket constructions, and is particularly adapted for use in the continuous molding process for such gaskets. As used within such process, the fabric may be wrapped around the foam as a jacket with coated side thereof being disposed as an interior surface

4

adjacent the foam, and the uncoated side being disposed as an electrically-conductive exterior surface. Advantageously, the coating on the interior surface of the jacket blocks the pores of the fabric to retain the foam therein without penetrate or bleed through to the exterior surface. In being formed of a acrylic material, the coated interior surface of the jacket may function, moreover, depending upon the composition of the foam, as a compatibilizing or "tie" interlayer which promotes the bonding of the foam to the fabric.

The present invention, accordingly, comprises material and method possessing the construction, combination of elements, and arrangement of parts and steps which are exemplified in the detailed disclosure to follow. Advantages of the present invention include a flame retardant yet drapable EMI shielding fabric. Additional advantages include an economical, flame retardant EMI shielding fabric construction wherein a relatively thin layer of a flame retardant coating may be wet coated onto one side of an electrically-conductive, woven or other generally porous EMI shielding fabric without compromising the conductivity of the other side of the fabric. These and other advantages will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art based upon the disclosure contained herein.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

For a fuller understanding of the nature and objects of the invention, reference should be had to the following detailed description taken in connection with the accompanying drawings wherein:

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of one embodiment of an EMI shielding material according to the present invention which material includes a generally planar fabric member on one side of which is coated a layer of a flame retardant composition, the view being shown with portions being broken away to better reveal the structure of the material;

FIG. 2 is an enlarged cross-sectional view of the EMI shielding material of FIG. 1 taken through plane represented by line 2—2 of FIG. 1;

FIG. 3 is a top view of the material of FIG. 1 which is magnified to reveal the structure of the fabric member thereof;

FIG. 4 is a perspective cross-sectional view of a length of a representative EMI shielding gasket construction according to the present invention including a jacket which is formed of the EMI shielding material of FIG. 1;

FIG. 5 is an end view of the gasket of FIG. 4 which is magnified to reveal the structure thereof; and

FIG. 6 is a schematic, partially cross-sectional view of an illustrative gravity-fed, knife over roll coater as adapted for use in the manufacture of the EMI shielding material of FIG. 1.

The drawings will be described further in connection with the following Detailed Description of the Invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Certain terminology may be employed in the description to follow for convenience rather than for any limiting purpose. For example, the terms "upper" and "lower" designate directions in the drawings to which reference is made, with the terms "inner" or "interior" and "outer" or "exterior" referring, respectively, to directions toward and away from the center of the referenced element, and the terms "radial" and "axial" referring, respectively, to directions perpendicular

US 6,521,348 B2

5

lar and parallel to the longitudinal central axis of the referenced element. Terminology of similar import other than the words specifically mentioned above likewise is to be considered as being used for purposes of convenience rather than in any limiting sense.

For the illustrative purposes of the discourse to follow, the electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding material herein involved is described in connection with its use as a flame retardant, electrically-conductive jacket for a foam core, EMI shielding gasket as may be adapted to be received within an interface, such as between a door, panel, hatch, cover, or other parting line of an electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding structure. The EMI shielding structure may be the conductive housing of a computer, communications equipment, or other electronic device or equipment which generates EMI radiation or is susceptible to the effects thereof. The gasket may be bonded or fastened to, or press-fit into one of a pair of mating surfaces which define the interface within the housing, and functions between the mating surfaces to seal any interface gaps or other irregularities. That is, while under an applied pressure, the gasket resiliently conforms to any such irregularities both to establish a continuous conductive path across the interface, and to environmentally seal the interior of the housing against the ingress of dust, moisture, or other contaminants. It will be appreciated, however, that aspects of the present invention may find utility in other EMI shielding applications. Use within those such other applications therefore should be considered to be expressly within the scope of the present invention.

Referring then to the figures, wherein corresponding reference characters are used to designate corresponding elements throughout the several views, a flame retardant EMI shielding material according to the present invention is shown generally at 10 in FIG. 1 as generally adapted for use as a jacket within for a foam core gasket construction. For purposes of illustration, material sheet 10 is shown to be of indefinite dimensions which may be cut to size for the particular application envisioned. In basic construction, material 10 includes an upper, generally planar and porous fabric member, 12, and a lower, flame retardant coating member, 14.

Fabric member has at least an electrically-conductive first side, 16, and a conductive or non-conductive second side, 18, defining a thickness dimension, referenced at "t₁" in the cross-sectional view of FIG. 2, which may vary from about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm). By "electrically-conductive," it is meant that the fabric may be rendered conductive, i.e., to a surface resistivity of about 0.1 Ω/sq. or less, by reason of its being constructed of electrically-conductive wire, monofilaments, yarns or other fibers or, alternatively, by reason of a treatment such as a plating or sputtering being applied to non-conductive fibers to provide an electrically-conductive layer thereon. Preferred electrically-conductive fibers include Monel nickel-copper alloy, silver-plated copper, nickel-clad copper, Ferrex® tin-plated copper-clad steel, aluminum, tin-clad copper, phosphor bronze, carbon, graphite, and conductive polymers. Preferred non-conductive fibers include cotton, wool, silk, cellulose, polyester, polyamide, nylon, and polyimide monofilaments or yarns which are rendered electrically conductive with a metal plating of copper, nickel, silver, nickel-plated-silver, aluminum, tin, or an alloy thereof. As is known, the metal plating may be applied to individual fiber strands or to the surfaces of the fabric after weaving, knitting, or other fabrication.

While fabrics such as wire meshes, knits, and non-woven cloths and webs may find application, a preferred fabric

6

construction for member 12 is a plain weave nylon or polyester cloth which is made electrically conductive with between about 20–40% by weight based on the total fabric weight, i.e., 0.01–0.10 g/in², of a silver, nickel-silver, or silver-nickel over copper plating. As may be seen in the magnified view of FIG. 1 referenced at 20 in FIG. 3, such cloth is permeable in having a plain, generally square weave pattern with pores or openings, one of which is referenced at 22, being defined between the fibers which are represented schematically at 24. Fibers 24 may be yarns, monofilaments or, preferably, bundles of from about 10–20 filaments or threads, each having a diameter of between about 10–50 gm. For example, with fibers 24 each being a bundle of such threads with a thread count of between about 1000–3000 per inch and a weave count of between about 1000–1500 per inch, 1000–2000 openings per inch will be defined with a mean average pore size of between about 0.5–2 mils (12.5–50 μm).

Although a plain, square weave pattern such as a taffeta, tabby, or ripstop is considered preferred, other weaves such as satins, twills, and the like also should be considered within the scope of the invention herein involved. A particularly preferred cloth for fabric member 12 is a 4 mil (0.10 mm) thick, 1.8 oz/yd² weight, silver-plated, woven nylon "31EN RIPSTOP" by Swift Textile Metalizing Corp., Bloomfield, Conn. However, depending upon the needs of the specific shielding application, a fabric constructed of a combination or blend of conductive and nonconductive fibers alternatively may be employed. Examples of fabrics woven, braided, or warp knitted from electrically-conductive fibers, or from blends of conductive and non-conductive fibers, are described in Gladfelter, U.S. Pat. No. 4,684,762, and in Buonanno, U.S. Pat. No. 4,857,668.

Returning to FIGS. 1 and 2, coating member 14 preferably is formed from a curable layer of a fluent, flame retardant resin or other composition which is wet coated onto the second side 18 of fabric member 12. As is detailed hereinafter, the viscosity and hydrodynamic pressure of the resin composition are controlled in accordance with the precepts of the present invention to delimit the penetration of the resin layer to a depth, referenced at "d" in FIG. 2, which is less than the thickness dimension t₁ of the fabric member 12. In this regard, when the layer is cured to form the flame retardant surface coating member 14 on the second side 18 of fabric member 12, the first side 16 thereof remains electrically-conductive. In a preferred construction, the layer is coated to a wet thickness of about 10 mils (0.25 mm), and then cured to a dried coating or film thickness, referenced at t₂ in FIG. 2, of between about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm) at a depth d of about 1–2 mils (0.025–0.05 mm). Ultimately, a total material thickness, referenced at "T," of between about 6–7 mils (0.15–0.20 mm) and a dried weight pickup of between about 100–150 g/yd² are observed. By "cured" it is meant that the resin is polymerized, cross-linked, further cross-linked or polymerized, vulcanized, hardened, dried, volatilized, or otherwise chemically or physically changed from a liquid or other fluent form into a solid polymeric or elastomeric phase.

The flame retardant composition preferably is formulated as an aqueous emulsion of an acrylic latex emulsion which is adjusted to a total solids of about 60% and a Brookfield viscosity (#5 spindle, 4 speed) of between about 40,000–60,000 cps, at a density of about 10 lbs per gallon (1.8 g/cm³). Flame retardancy may be imparted by loading the emulsion with between about 30–50% by weight of one or more conventional flame retardant additives such as aluminum

(12) **United States Patent**
Bunyan et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 6,716,536 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** ***Apr. 6, 2004**

(54) **FLAME RETARDANT EMI SHIELDING GASKET**

4,531,994 A 7/1985 Holtrop et al.
4,540,617 A 9/1985 Kawanishi et al.

(75) Inventors: **Michael H. Bunyan**, Chelmsford, MA (US); **William I. Flanders**, Merimack, NH (US)

(List continued on next page.)

(73) Assignee: **Parker-Hannifin Corporation**, Cleveland, OH (US)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

DE	9013936	12/1990
FR	2308030	11/1976
JP	07-176889	* 7/1995
WO	0326441	8/1989

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

Kleiner, J. Vinyl Technol. vol 4 No. 4, Dec. 1982, pp 157-159.*

Dye, James M. Compliance Engineering 17(3), pp 138 and 140-145, 2000.*

Copy of International Search Report for PCT Case No. PCT/US99/04100, Jan. 7, 1999.

Chomerics Parker Hannifin Seals Catalog dated 1997 for Soft-Shield Low Closure Force EMI Gaskets.

Monsanto Electron Metalized Materials dated Sep. 12, 1995.

Copy of the International Application Published Under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in International Patent Application No. PCT/US00/20609 (WO 01/10182) entitled: "Method and Apparatus for Manufacturing A Flame Retardant EMI Gasket" Feb. 2001.

Underwriters Laboratories, Inc. letter dated Sep. 20, 1995.

Primary Examiner—Erma Cameron

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—John A. Molnar, Jr.

(21) Appl. No.: **10/318,609**

(22) Filed: **Dec. 11, 2002**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2003/0124934 A1 Jul. 3, 2003

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 10/142,803, filed on May 9, 2002, now Pat. No. 6,521,348, which is a continuation of application No. 09/883,785, filed on Jun. 18, 2001, now Pat. No. 6,387,523, which is a continuation of application No. 09/250,338, filed on Feb. 16, 1999, now Pat. No. 6,248,393.
(60) Provisional application No. 60/076,370, filed on Feb. 27, 1998.

(51) **Int. Cl.**⁷ **B32B 5/14; B32B 5/18; H05K 9/00**

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **428/457; 361/818**

(58) **Field of Search** **428/457; 361/818**

(56) **References Cited**


U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,178,410 A	12/1979	Tomita
4,396,661 A	8/1983	George et al.
4,447,484 A	5/1984	Slosberg et al.
4,489,126 A	12/1984	Holtrop et al.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A flame retardant, electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding gasket construction. The construction includes a resilient core member formed of a foamed elastomeric material, an electrically-conductive fabric member surrounding the outer surface of the core member, and a flame retardant layer coating at least a portion of the interior surface of the fabric member. The flame retardant layer is effective to afford the gasket construction with a flame class rating of V-0 under Underwriter's Laboratories (UL) Standard No. 94.

9 Claims, 3 Drawing Sheets






US 6,716,536 B2

Page 2

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,569,304 A	2/1986	Le Maitre	5,089,325 A	2/1992	Covey	
4,572,960 A	2/1986	Ebneth et al.	5,105,056 A	4/1992	Hoge, Jr. et al.	
4,608,104 A	8/1986	Holtrop et al.	5,122,411 A	6/1992	Iwamoto et al.	
4,621,013 A	11/1986	Holtrop et al.	5,202,536 A	4/1993	Buonanno	
4,666,765 A	5/1987	Caldwell et al.	5,204,403 A	4/1993	Furuta et al.	
4,684,762 A	8/1987	Gladfeiter	5,266,354 A	11/1993	Tohyama et al.	
4,753,840 A	6/1988	Van Gompel	5,612,092 A	3/1997	Strenger et al.	
4,797,171 A	1/1989	Van Gompel	5,614,306 A	3/1997	Jobe et al.	
4,857,668 A	8/1989	Buonanno	5,635,252 A	6/1997	Fraser, Jr. et al.	
4,871,477 A	10/1989	Dimanshteyn	5,641,438 A *	6/1997	Bunyan et al.	264/40.3
4,988,550 A	1/1991	Keyser et al.	5,641,544 A	6/1997	Melancon et al.	
5,009,927 A	4/1991	Cloyd et al.	5,674,606 A	10/1997	Powers, Jr.	
5,028,739 A	7/1991	Keyser et al.	5,700,532 A	12/1997	Chiou	
5,045,635 A	9/1991	Kaplo et al.				

* cited by examiner






Fig. 4





Fig. 5



US 6,716,536 B2

1

**FLAME RETARDANT EMI SHIELDING
GASKET****CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED
APPLICATION**

This application is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 10/142,803 filed May 9, 2002, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,521,348, which is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 09/883,785, filed Jun. 18, 2001, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,387,523; which is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 09/250,338, filed Feb. 16, 1999, now U.S. Pat. No. 6,248,393 and claiming priority to U.S. provisional application Ser. No. 60/076,370, filed Feb. 27, 1998, the disclosure of each of which is expressly incorporated herein by reference.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates broadly to electrically-conductive, flame retardant materials for use in electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding, and to a method of manufacturing the same, and more particularly to an electrically-conductive fabric having a layer of a flame retardant coating applied to one surface thereof for use as a sheathing within an EMI shielding gasket.

The operation of electronic devices including televisions, radios, computers, medical instruments, business machines, communications equipment, and the like is attended by the generation of electromagnetic radiation within the electronic circuitry of the equipment. Such radiation often develops as a field or as transients within the radio frequency band of the electromagnetic spectrum, i.e., between about 10 KHz and 10 GHz, and is termed "electromagnetic interference" or "EMI" as being known to interfere with the operation of other proximate electronic devices.

To attenuate EMI effects, shielding having the capability of absorbing and/or reflecting EMI energy may be employed both to confine the EMI energy within a source device, and to insulate that device or other "target" devices from other source devices. Such shielding is provided as a barrier which is inserted between the source and the other devices, and typically is configured as an electrically conductive and grounded housing which encloses the device. As the circuitry of the device generally must remain accessible for servicing or the like, most housings are provided with openable or removable accesses such as doors, hatches, panels, or covers. Between even the flattest of these accesses and its corresponding mating or faying surface, however, there may be present gaps which reduce the efficiency of the shielding by presenting openings through which radiant energy may leak or otherwise pass into or out of the device. Moreover, such gaps represent discontinuities in the surface and ground conductivity of the housing or other shielding, and may even generate a secondary source of EMI radiation by functioning as a form of slot antenna. In this regard, bulk or surface currents induced within the housing develop voltage gradients across any interface gaps in the shielding, which gaps thereby function as antennas which radiate EMI noise. In general, the amplitude of the noise is proportional to the gap length, with the width of the gap having a less appreciable effect.

For filling gaps within mating surfaces of housings and other EMI shielding structures, gaskets and other seals have been proposed both for maintaining electrical continuity across the structure, and for excluding from the interior of the device such contaminants as moisture and dust. Such seals are bonded or mechanically attached to, or press-fit

2

into, one of the mating surfaces, and function to close any interface gaps to establish a continuous conductive path thereacross by conforming under an applied pressure to irregularities between the surfaces. Accordingly, seals intended for EMI shielding applications are specified to be of a construction which not only provides electrical surface conductivity even while under compression, but which also has a resiliency allowing the seals to conform to the size of the gap. The seals additionally must be wear resistant, economical to manufacture, and capability of withstanding repeated compression and relaxation cycles. For further information on specifications for EMI shielding gaskets, reference may be had to Severinsen, J., "Gaskets That Block EMI," Machine Design, Vol. 47, No. 19, pp. 74-77 (Aug. 7, 1975).

Requirements for typical EMI shielding applications often dictate a low impedance, low profile gasket which is deflectable under normal closure force loads. Other requirements include low cost and a design which provides an EMI shielding effectiveness for both the proper operation of the device and compliance, in the United States, with commercial Federal Communication Commission (FCC) EMC regulations.

A particularly economical gasket construction, which also requires very low closure forces, i.e. less than about 1 lb/inch (0.175 N/mm), is marketed by the Chomerics Division of Parker-Hannifin Corp., Woburn, Mass. under the tradename "Soft-Shield® 5000 Series." Such construction consists of an electrically-conductive jacket or sheathing which is "cigarette" wrapped lengthwise over a polyurethane or other foam core. As is described further in U.S. Pat. No. 4,871,477, polyurethane foams generally are produced by the reaction of polyisocyanate and a hydroxyl-functional polyol in the presence of a blowing agent. The blowing agent effects the expansion of the polymer structure into a multiplicity of open or closed cells.

The jacket is provided as a highly conductive, i.e., about 1 Ω -sq., nickel-plated-silver, woven rip-stop nylon which is self-terminating when cut. Advantageously, the jacket may be bonded to the core in a continuous molding process wherein the foam is blown or expanded within the jacket as the jacket is wrapped around the expanding foam and the foam and jacket are passed through a die and into a traveling molding. Similar gasket constructions are shown in commonly-assigned U.S. Pat. No. 5,028,739 and in U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,857,668; 5,054,635; 5,105,056; and 5,202,536.

Many electronic devices, including PC's and communication equipment, must not only comply with certain FCC requirements, but also must meet be approved under certain Underwriter's Laboratories (UL) standards for flame retardancy. In this regard, if each of the individual components within an electronic device is UL approved, then the device itself does not require separate approval. Ensuring UL approval for each component therefore reduces the cost of compliance for the manufacturer, and ultimately may result in cheaper goods for the consumer. For EMI shielding gaskets, however, such gaskets must be made flame retardant, i.e., achieving a rating of V-0 under UL Std. No. 94, "Tests for Flammability of Plastic Materials for Parts in Devices and Appliances" (1991), without compromising the electrical conductivity necessary for meeting EMI shielding requirements.

In this regard, and particularly with respect to EMI shielding gaskets of the above-described fabric over foam variety, it has long been recognized that foamed polymeric materials are flammable and, in certain circumstances, may

US 6,716,536 B2

3

present a fire hazard. Owing to their cellular structure, high organic content, and surface area, most foam materials are subject to relatively rapid decomposition upon exposure to fire or high temperatures.

One approach for imparting flame retardancy to fabric over foam gaskets has been to employ the sheathing as a flame resistant protective layer for the foam. Indeed, V-0 rating compliance purportedly has been achieved by sheathing the foam within an electrically-conductive Ni/Cu-plated fabric to which a thermoplastic sheet is hot nipped or otherwise fusion bonding to the underside thereof. Such fabrics, which may be further described in one or more of U.S. Pat. Nos. 4,489,126; 4,531,994; 4,608,104; and/or 4,621,013, have been marketed by Monsanto Co., St. Louis, under the tradename "Electron® Ni/Cu Polyester Taffeta V0."

Other fabric over foam gaskets, as is detailed in U.S. Pat. No. 4,857,668, incorporate a supplemental layer or coating applied to the interior surface of the sheath. Such coating may be a flame-retardant urethane formulation which also promotes the adhesion of the sheath to the foam. The coating additionally may function to reduce bleeding of the foam through the fabric which otherwise could compromise the electrical conductivity of the sheath.

In view of the foregoing, it will be appreciated that further improvements in the design of flame retardant, fabric-over-foam EMI shielding gaskets, as well as sheathing materials therefore, would be well-received by the electronics industry. Especially desired would be a flame retardant gasket construction which achieves a UL94 rating of V-0.

BROAD STATEMENT OF THE INVENTION

The present invention is directed to an electrically-conductive, flame retardant material for use in fabric-over-foam EMI shielding gaskets, and to a method of manufacturing the same. In having a layer of a flame retardant coating applied to one side of an electrically-conductive, generally porous fabric, the material of the invention affords UL94 V-0 protection when used as a jacketing in a fabric-over-foam gasket construction. Advantageously, as the flame retardant layer may be wet coated on the fabric without appreciable bleed through, a relatively thin, i.e., 2-4 mil (0.05-0.10 mm), coating layer may be provided on one fabric side without compromising the electrical surface conductivity of the other side. Such a thin coating layer, while being sufficient to provide UL94 V-0 protection, nonetheless maintains the drapability the fabric and thereby facilitates the construction UL94 V-0 compliant gaskets having complex profiles or narrow cross-sections down to about 1 mm.

In a preferred embodiment, the electrically-conductive, flame retardant EMI shielding material of the invention includes a nickel or silver-plated, woven nylon, polyester, or like fabric on one side of which is wet coated a layer of a flame retardant, acrylic latex emulsion or other fluent resin composition. In accordance with the precepts of the method of the invention, the viscosity and hydrodynamic pressure of the emulsion are controlled such that the coating does not penetrate or otherwise "bleed through" the uncoated side of the fabric. The surface conductivity of the opposite side of the fabric therefore is not compromised in EMI shielding applications.

The material of the invention may be employed as a jacket in fabric-over-foam EMI shielding gasket constructions, and is particularly adapted for use in the continuous molding process for such gaskets. As used within such process, the

4

fabric may be wrapped around the foam as a jacket with coated side thereof being disposed as an interior surface adjacent the foam, and the uncoated side being disposed as an electrically-conductive exterior surface. Advantageously, the coating on the interior surface of the jacket blocks the pores of the fabric to retain the foam therein without penetrate or bleed through to the exterior surface. In being formed of a acrylic material, the coated interior surface of the jacket may function, moreover, depending upon the composition of the foam, as a compatibilizing or "tie" interlayer which promotes the bonding of the foam to the fabric.

The present invention, accordingly, comprises material and method possessing the construction, combination of elements, and arrangement of parts and steps which are exemplified in the detailed disclosure to follow. Advantages of the present invention include a flame retardant yet drapable EMI shielding fabric. Additional advantages include an economical, flame retardant EMI shielding fabric construction wherein a relatively thin layer of a flame retardant coating may be wet coated onto one side of an electrically-conductive, woven or other generally porous EMI shielding fabric without compromising the conductivity of the other side of the fabric. These and other advantages will be readily apparent to those skilled in the art based upon the disclosure contained herein.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

For a fuller understanding of the nature and objects of the invention, reference should be had to the following detailed description taken in connection with the accompanying drawings wherein:

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of one embodiment of an EMI shielding material according to the present invention which material includes a generally planar fabric member on one side of which is coated a layer of a flame retardant composition, the view being shown with portions being broken away to better reveal the structure of the material;

FIG. 2 is an enlarged cross-sectional view of the EMI shielding material of FIG. 1 taken through plane represented by line 2—2 of FIG. 1;

FIG. 3 is a top view of the material of FIG. 1 which is magnified to reveal the structure of the fabric member thereof;

FIG. 4 is a perspective cross-sectional view of a length of a representative EMI shielding gasket construction according to the present invention including a jacket which is formed of the EMI shielding material of FIG. 1;

FIG. 5 is an end view of the gasket of FIG. 4 which is magnified to reveal the structure thereof, and

FIG. 6 is a schematic, partially cross-sectional view of an illustrative gravity-fed, knife over roll coater as adapted for use in the manufacture of the EMI shielding material of FIG. 1.

The drawings will be described further in connection with the following Detailed Description of the Invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

Certain terminology may be employed in the description to follow for convenience rather than for any limiting purpose. For example, the terms "upper" and "lower" designate directions in the drawings to which reference is made, with the terms "inner" or "interior" and "outer" or "exterior" referring, respectively, to directions toward and away from

US 6,716,536 B2

5

the center of the referenced element, and the terms "radial" and "axial" referring, respectively, to directions perpendicular and parallel to the longitudinal central axis of the referenced element. Terminology of similar import other than the words specifically mentioned above likewise is to be considered as being used for purposes of convenience rather than in any limiting sense.

For the illustrative purposes of the discourse to follow, the electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding material herein involved is described in connection with its use as a flame retardant, electrically-conductive jacket for a foam core, EMI shielding gasket as may be adapted to be received within an interface, such as between a door, panel, hatch, cover, or other parting line of an electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding structure. The EMI shielding structure may be the conductive housing of a computer, communications equipment, or other electronic device or equipment which generates EMI radiation or is susceptible to the effects thereof. The gasket may be bonded or fastened to, or press-fit into one of a pair of mating surfaces which define the interface within the housing, and functions between the mating surfaces to seal any interface gaps or other irregularities. That is, while under an applied pressure, the gasket resiliently conforms to any such irregularities both to establish a continuous conductive path across the interface, and to environmentally seal the interior of the housing against the ingress of dust, moisture, or other contaminants. It will be appreciated, however, that aspects of the present invention may find utility in other EMI shielding applications. Use within those such other applications therefore should be considered to be expressly within the scope of the present invention.

Referring then to the figures, wherein corresponding reference characters are used to designate corresponding elements throughout the several views, a flame retardant EMI shielding material according to the present invention is shown generally at **10** in FIG. **1** as generally adapted for use as a jacket within for a foam core gasket construction. For purposes of illustration, material sheet **10** is shown to be of indefinite dimensions which may be cut to size for the particular application envisioned. In basic construction, material **10** includes an upper, generally planar and porous fabric member, **12**, and a lower, flame retardant coating member, **14**.

Fabric member has at least an electrically-conductive first side, **16**, and a conductive or non-conductive second side, **18**, defining a thickness dimension, referenced at "t," in the cross-sectional view of FIG. **2**, which may vary from about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm). By "electrically-conductive," it is meant that the fabric may be rendered conductive, i.e., to a surface resistivity of about 0.1 Ω /sq. or less, by reason of its being constructed of electrically-conductive wire, monofilaments, yarns or other fibers or, alternatively, by reason of a treatment such as a plating or sputtering being applied to non-conductive fibers to provide an electrically-conductive layer thereon. Preferred electrically-conductive fibers include Monel nickel-copper alloy, silver-plated copper, nickel-clad copper, Ferrex® tin-plated copper-clad steel, aluminum, tin-clad copper, phosphor bronze, carbon, graphite, and conductive polymers. Preferred non-conductive fibers include cotton, wool, silk, cellulose, polyester, polyamide, nylon, and polyimide monofilaments or yarns which are rendered electrically conductive with a metal plating of copper, nickel, silver, nickel-plated-silver, aluminum, tin, or an alloy thereof. As is known, the metal plating may applied to individual fiber strands or to the surfaces of the fabric after weaving, knitting, or other fabrication.

6

While fabrics such as wire meshes, knits, and non-woven cloths and webs may find application, a preferred fabric construction for member **12** is a plain weave nylon or polyester cloth which is made electrically conductive with between about 20–40% by weight based on the total fabric weight, i.e., 0.01–0.10 g/in², of a silver, nickel-silver, or silver-nickel over copper plating. As may be seen in the magnified view of FIG. **1** referenced at **20** in FIG. **3**, such cloth is permeable in having a plain, generally square weave pattern with pores or openings, one of which is referenced at **22**, being defined between the fibers which are represented schematically at **24**. Fibers **24** may be yarns, monofilaments or, preferably, bundles of from about 10–20 filaments or threads, each having a diameter of between about 10–50 μ m. For example, with fibers **24** each being a bundle of such threads with a thread count of between about 1000–3000 per inch and a weave count of between about 1000–1500 per inch, 1000–2000 openings per inch will be defined with a mean average pore size of between about 0.5–2 mils (12.5–50 μ m).

Although a plain, square weave pattern such as a taffeta, tabby, or ripstop is considered preferred, other weaves such as satins, twills, and the like also should be considered within the scope of the invention herein involved. A particularly preferred cloth for fabric member **12** is a 4 mil (0.10 mm) thick, 1.8 oz/yd² weight, silver-plated, woven nylon which is marketed commercially under the designation "31EN RIPSTOP" by Swift Textile Metalizing Corp., Bloomfield, Conn. However, depending upon the needs of the specific shielding application, a fabric constructed of a combination or blend of conductive and nonconductive fibers alternatively may be employed. Examples of fabrics woven, braided, or warp knitted from electrically-conductive fibers, or from blends of conductive and non-conductive fibers, are described in Gladfelter, U.S. Pat. No. 4,684,762, and in Buonanno, U.S. Pat. No. 4,857,668.

Returning to FIGS. **1** and **2**, coating member **14** preferably is formed from a curable layer of a fluent, flame retardant resin or other composition which is wet coated onto the second side **18** of fabric member **12**. As is detailed hereinafter, the viscosity and hydrodynamic pressure of the resin composition are controlled in accordance with the precepts of the present invention to delimit the penetration of the resin layer to a depth, referenced at "d" in FIG. **2**, which is less than the thickness dimension t_1 of the fabric member **12**. In this regard, when the layer is cured to form the flame retardant surface coating member **14** on the second side **18** of fabric member **12**, the first side **16** thereof remains electrically-conductive. In a preferred construction, the layer is coated to a wet thickness of about 10 mils (0.25 mm), and then cured to a dried coating or film thickness, referenced at t_2 in FIG. **2**, of between about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm) at a depth d of about 1–2 mils (0.025–0.05 mm). Ultimately, a total material thickness, referenced at "T," of between about 6–7 mils (0.15–0.20 mm) and a dried weight pickup of between about 100–150 g/yd² are observed. By "cured" it is meant that the resin is polymerized, cross-linked, further cross-linked or polymerized, vulcanized, hardened, dried, volatilized, or otherwise chemically or physically changed from a liquid or other fluent form into a solid polymeric or elastomeric phase.

The flame retardant composition preferably is formulated as an aqueous emulsion of an acrylic latex emulsion which is adjusted to a total solids of about 60% and a Brookfield viscosity (#5 spindle, 4 speed) of between about 40,000–60,000 cps, at a density of about 10 lbs per gallon (1.8 g/cm³). Flame retardancy may be imparted by loading the emulsion

US 6,716,536 B2

7

with between about 30–50% by weight of one or more conventional flame retardant additives such as aluminum hydrate, antimony trioxide, phosphate esters, or halogenated compounds such as polybrominated diphenyl oxides. A preferred formulation is a mixture of about 25% by weight, based on the total weight of the emulsion, of decabromodiphenyl oxide and about 15% by weight of one or more antimony compounds. In operation, should the acrylic carrier phase be ignited, the decomposition of the halogenated and metal oxide compounds function to chemically deprive the flame of sufficient oxygen to support combustion. The decomposition of the acrylic phase additionally may lead to the development of a protective, i.e., thermally-insulative or refractory, outer char layer.

A preferred flame retardant, acrylic latex emulsion is marketed commercially by Heveatex Corp., Fall River, Mass., under the designation “4129FR.” The viscosity of the emulsion may be adjusted to between about 40,000–60,000 cps using an aqueous acryloid gel or other acrylic thickener. In this regard, the increased viscosity of the emulsion contributes to delimiting the penetration of the coating layer into the fabric member. However, as this relatively high viscosity may lead to undesirable porosity in the dried film, the emulsion additionally may be modified to reduce air entrapment and bubble formation in the coating layer with up to about 1% by weight of one or more commercial surfactants such as “Bubble Breaker” by Witco Chemical Corp. (Chicago, Ill.) and “Foam Master Antifoam” by Diamond Shamrock, Inc. (San Antonio, Tex.).

As aforementioned, EMI shielding material **10** of the present invention is particularly adapted for use as a flame retardant, electrically-conductive jacket which is provided over a foam core in an EMI shielding gasket construction such as gasket **50** of FIG. 4. In a representative embodiment, gasket **50** includes an elongate, resilient foam core member, **52**, which may be of an indefinite length. Core member **52** has an outer circumferential surface, **54**, defining the cross-sectional profile of gasket **50** which, for illustrative purposes, is of a generally polygonal, i.e., square or rectangular geometry. Other plane profiles, such as circular, semi-circular, or elliptical, or complex profiles may be substituted, however, depending upon the geometry of the interface to be sealed. Core member **12** may be of any radial or diametric extent, but for most applications will have a diametric extent or width of from about 0.25 inch (0.64 cm) to 1 inch (2.54 cm).

For affording gap-filling capabilities, it is preferred that core member **52** is provided to be compliant over a wide range of temperatures, and to exhibit good compression-relaxation hysteresis even after repeated cyclings or long compressive dwells. Core member **52** therefore may be formed of a foamed elastomeric thermoplastic such as a polyethylene, polypropylene, polypropylene-EPDM blend, butadiene, styrene-butadiene, nitrile, chlorosulfonate, or a foamed neoprene, urethane, or silicone. Preferred materials of construction include open or closed cell urethanes or blends such as a polyolefin resin/monoolefin copolymer blend, or a neoprene, silicone, or nitrile sponge rubber.

Core member **52** may be provided as an extruded or molded foam profile over which shielding material **10** is wrapped as a sheathed, with the edges of sheathed being overlapped as at **56**. In a preferred construction, shielding material **10** is bonded to the core member **52** in a continuous molding process wherein the foam is blown or expanded within the shielding material. As may be seen best with reference to the magnified view of FIG. 4 referenced at **60** in FIG. 5, in such construction coating member **14** is

8

disposed adjacent core member **52** as an interior surface, **62**, of shielding member **10**, with the uncoated side **16** of fabric member **12** being oppositely disposed as an electrically-conductive exterior surface, **64**, of the gasket **50**. It will be appreciated that the coated interior surface **62** blocks the pores **22** (FIG. 3) of the fabric member **12** of the fabric to retain the blown foam therein without penetrate or bleed through to the exterior gasket surface **64**. Depending upon the respective compositions of the foam and coating, the interior surface **62** may function, moreover, as a compatibilizing or “tie” interlayer which promotes the bonding of the foam to the fabric. Gasket construction **50** advantageously provides a structure that may be used in very low closure force, i.e. less than about 1 lb/inch (0.175 N/mm), applications.

Referring again to FIG. 4, an adhesive layer, **70**, may be applied along the lengthwise extent of gasket **50** to the underside of exterior surface **64** for the attachment of the gasket to a substrate. Such layer **70** preferably is formulated to be of a pressure sensitive adhesive (PSA) variety. As is described in U.S. Pat. No. 4,988,550, suitable PSA's for EMI shielding applications include formulations based on silicones, neoprene, styrene butadiene copolymers, acrylics, acrylates, polyvinyl ethers, polyvinyl acetate copolymers, polyisobutylenes, and mixtures, blends, and copolymers thereof. Acrylic-based formulations, however, generally are considered to be preferred for the EMI applications of the type herein involved. Although PSA's are preferred for adhesive layer **70**, other adhesives such as epoxies and urethanes may be substituted and, accordingly, are to be considered within the scope of the present invention. Heat-fusible adhesives such as hot-melts and thermoplastic films additionally may find applicability.

Inasmuch as the bulk conductivity of gasket **50** is determined substantially through its surface contact with the substrate, an electrically-conductive PSA may be preferred to ensure optimal EMI shielding performance. Such adhesives conventionally are formulated as containing about 1–25% by weight of a conductive filler to yield a volume resistivity of from about 0.01–0.001 Ω -cm. The filler may be incorporated in the form of particles, fibers, flakes, microspheres, or microballoons, and may range in size of from about 1–100 microns. Typically filler materials include inherently conductive material such as metals, carbon, and graphite, or nonconductive materials such as plastic or glass having a plating of a conductive material such as a noble metal or the like. In this regard, the means by which the adhesive is rendered electrically conductive is not considered to be a critical aspect of the present invention, such that any means achieving the desired conductivity and adhesion are to be considered suitable.

For protecting the outer portion of adhesive layer **70** which is exposed on the exterior surface of the gasket, a release sheets, shown at **72**, may be provided as removably attached to the exposed adhesive. As is common in the adhesive art, release sheet **72** may be provided as strip of a waxed, siliconized, or other coated paper or plastic sheet or the like having a relatively low surface energy so as to be removable without appreciable lifting of the adhesive from the exterior surface **64**.

In the production of commercial quantities of the EMI shielding material **10** of the present invention, the viscosity adjusted and otherwise modified acrylic latex emulsion or other resin composition may be coated and cured on one side the fabric member **12** by a direct wet process such as knife over roll or slot die. With whatever process is employed, the hydrodynamic pressure of the resin composition is con-

US 6,716,536 B2

9

trolled in accordance with the precepts of the present invention to delimit the penetration of the resin layer to a depth which is less than the thickness dimension of the fabric member. For example, and with reference to FIG. 6 wherein the head of a representative gravity-fed knife over roll coater is shown somewhat schematically at **100**, porous, i.e., permeable, fabric member **12** is conveyed from a feed roll or the like (not shown) over a nip roller, **102**, which rotates in the direction referenced by arrow **104**. With the first side **16** of fabric member **12** supported on roller **102**, the fabric second side **18** is passed beneath the opening, referenced at **106**, of a coating trough, **108**. Trough **108** is defined by a front plate, **110**, a back plate, **112**, and a pair of side plates (not shown).

The emulsion or other fluent resin composition, referenced at **114**, is pumped or otherwise transported into trough **108** which is filled to a fluid level, referenced at **h**. For a given fluid density, this level **h** is controlled such that the hydrodynamic pressure at the fabric-liquid interface is maintained within preset limits. For example, with a fluid density of about 10 pounds per gallon (1.8 g/cm³), and a fabric having a porosity of about 1000–2000 openings per inch with a mean average pore size of between about 0.5–2 mils (12.5–50 μ m), the fluid level **H** is controlled at about 4 inches (10 cm) to yield a hydrodynamic pressure of about 0.05 psi (0.35 kPa) at the fabric-liquid interface. For other coating processes, the hydrodynamic fluid pressure may be controlled, for example, by a pumping pressure or the like.

In the illustrative knife-over-roll coating process, the lower edge, **120**, of front plate **110** defines a knife surface which is shimmed or otherwise spaced-apart a predetermined distance from the second side **18** of fabric member **12**. Such spacing provides a clearance or gap, referenced at “**g**,” of typically about 10 mils (0.25 mm), but which is adjustable to regulate the thickness of the liquid coating layer, **122**, being applied to the fabric member. From roller **104**, the coated fabric member **12** may be conveyed via a take-up roller arrangement (not shown) through a in-line oven or the like to dry or flash the water or other diluent in the liquid coating layer **122**, or to otherwise cure the liquid coating layer **122** in developing an adherent, tack-free, film or other layer of coating member **14** (FIG. 1) on the single side **18** of fabric member **12**.

The Example to follow, wherein all percentages and proportions are by weight unless otherwise expressly indicated, is illustrative of the practicing of the invention herein involved, but should not be construed in any limiting sense.

EXAMPLE

Representative EMI shielding materials according to the present invention were constructed for characterization. In this regard, a master batch of a flame retardant coating composition was compounded using an acrylic latex emulsion (Heveatex “4129FR”). The viscosity of the emulsion was adjusted to a Brookfield viscosity (#4 spindle, 40 speed) of about 60,000 cps with about 5 wt % of an acryloid thickener (Acrysol™ GS, Monsanto Co., St. Louis, Mo.). The modified emulsion had a total solids content of about 60% by weight, a density of about 10 pounds per gallon (1.8 g/cm³), and a pH of between about 7.5 and 9.5.

The emulsion was applied using a knife over roll coater (JETZONE Model 7319, Wolverine Corp., Merrimac, Mass.) to one side of a silver-plated nylon fabric (Swift “31EN RIPSTOP”) having a thickness of about 4 mils (0.1 mm). With the fluid level in the coating trough of the coater

10

maintained at about 4 inch (10 cm), the emulsion was delivered to the surface of the cloth at a hydrodynamic pressure of about 0.05 psi (0.35 kPa). The coating knife was shimmed to a 10 mil (0.25 mm) gap above the fabric to yield a wet coating draw down thickness of about 10 mils. Following an oven curing at 100–125° C. for 5 minutes, a dried coating or film thickness of about 2.5 mils (0.635 mm) was obtained with a weight pickup of about 130–145 g/yd² and a total material thickness of between about 6–7 mils (0.15–0.18 mm). An inspection of the coated fabric cloth revealed a coating penetration depth of about 1–2 mils (0.02–0.05 mm) providing acceptable mechanical retention and/or adhesion of the coating onto the fabric surface. The opposite side of the fabric, however, was observed to be substantially coating free, and to retain a surface resistivity of about 0.1 Ω /sq for unaffected EMI shielding effectiveness.

Fabric samples similarly coated in the manner described were subjected to an in-house vertical flame test. No burning was observed at dried film thickness of 2, 3, or 4 mils (0.05, 0.08, 0.10 mm). Accordingly, a reasonable operating window of film thickness was suggested for production runs.

Samples also were provided, as jacketed over a polyurethane foam core in an EMI shielding gasket construction, for flame testing by Underwriters Laboratories, Inc., Melville, N.Y. A flame class rating of V-0 under UL94 was assigned at a minimum thickness of 1.0 mm. The gasket construction therefore was found to be compliant with the applicable UL requirements, and was approved to bear the “UL” certification mark.

The foregoing results confirm that, the EMI shielding material of the present invention affords UL94 V-0 protection when used as a jacketing in a fabric-over-foam gasket construction. Unexpectedly, it was found that a relatively porous or permeable fabric may be wet coated on one side with a relatively thin, i.e., 2–4 mil (0.05–0.10 mm), coating layer of a flame retardant composition without compromising the electrical surface conductivity of the other side. Such a thin coating layer, while being sufficient to provide UL94 V-0 protection in a conventional fabric-over-foam gasket construction, nonetheless maintains the drapability the fabric and thereby facilitates the fabrication of UL94 V-0 compliant gaskets having complex profiles or narrow cross-sections down to about 1 mm.

As it is anticipated that certain changes may be made in the present invention without departing from the precepts herein involved, it is intended that all matter contained in the foregoing description shall be interpreted as illustrative and not in a limiting sense. All references cited herein are expressly incorporated by reference.

What is claimed is:

1. A flame retardant, electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding gasket comprising:

a resilient core member extending lengthwise along a central longitudinal axis and having an outer surface extending circumferentially about said longitudinal axis, said core member being formed of a foamed elastomeric material;

an electrically-conductive fabric member surrounding the outer surface of said core member, said fabric member having an interior surface disposed facing the outer surface of said core member and an oppositely-facing, exterior surface, at least the exterior surface being electrically-conductive and the exterior surface defining with the interior surface a thickness dimension of the fabric member therebetween; and

US 6,716,536 B2

11

a flame retardant layer coating at least a portion of the interior surface of said fabric member, said flame retardant layer comprising at least about 30% by weight of one or more flame retardant additives and penetrating into said fabric member to a depth which is less than the thickness dimension of said fabric member such that the exterior surface of said fabric member remains electrically-conductive.

2. The gasket of claim 1 wherein said flame retardant layer has a thickness of between about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm).

3. The gasket of claim 1 wherein said flame retardant layer is formed as a cured film of a flame retardant acrylic latex emulsion.

4. The gasket of claim 1 wherein said fabric member is a metal-plated cloth.

5. The gasket of claim 4 wherein said cloth comprises fibers selected from the group consisting of cotton, wool, silk, cellulose, polyester, polyamide, nylon, and combinations thereof, and said metal is selected from the group

12

consisting of copper, nickel, silver, nickel-plated-silver, aluminum, tin, and combinations thereof.

6. The gasket of claim 1 wherein said foamed elastomeric material is selected from the group consisting of polyethylenes, polypropylenes, polypropylene-EPDM blends, butadienes, styrene-butadienes, nitriles, chlorosulfonates, neoprenes, urethanes, silicones, and polyolefin resin/monoolefin copolymer blends, and combinations thereof.

7. The gasket of claim 1 wherein said fabric member has a thickness of between about 2–4 mils (0.05–0.10 mm).

8. The gasket of claim 1 wherein said flame retardant layer is effective to afford the gasket a flame class rating of V-0 under Underwriter's Laboratories (UL) Standard No. 94.

9. The gasket of claim 1 wherein said one or more flame retardant additives are selected from the group consisting of aluminum hydrate, antimony trioxide, phosphate esters, and halogenated compounds.

* * * * *

(12) **United States Patent**
Bunyan et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 6,777,095 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Aug. 17, 2004**

(54) **FLAME RETARDANT EMI SHIELDING GASKET**

(75) Inventors: **Michael H. Bunyan**, Chelmsford, MA (US); **William I. Flanders**, Merimack, NH (US)

(73) Assignee: **Parker-Hannifin Corporation**, Cleveland, OH (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

4,569,304 A	2/1986	Le Maitre
4,572,960 A	2/1986	Ebneth et al.
4,608,104 A	8/1986	Holtrop et al.
4,621,013 A	11/1986	Holtrop et al.
4,666,765 A	5/1987	Caldwell et al.
4,684,762 A	8/1987	Gladfeiter
4,753,840 A	6/1988	Van Gompel
4,797,171 A	1/1989	Van Gompel
4,857,668 A	8/1989	Buonanno
4,871,477 A	10/1989	Dimanshteyn
4,988,550 A	1/1991	Keyser et al.
5,009,927 A	4/1991	Cloyd et al.
5,028,739 A	7/1991	Keyser et al.
5,045,635 A	9/1991	Kaplo et al.

(21) Appl. No.: **10/753,016**

(List continued on next page.)

(22) Filed: **Jan. 7, 2004**

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2004/0142616 A1 Jul. 22, 2004

DE	9013936	6/1990
EP	0326441	2/1989
FR	2308030	12/1976
JP	07-176889	* 7/1995

Related U.S. Application Data

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

(63) Continuation of application No. 10/318,609, filed on Dec. 11, 2002, now Pat. No. 6,716,536, which is a continuation of application No. 10/142,803, filed on May 9, 2002, now Pat. No. 6,521,348, which is a continuation of application No. 09/883,785, filed on Jun. 18, 2001, now Pat. No. 6,387,523, which is a continuation of application No. 09/250,338, filed on Feb. 16, 1999, now Pat. No. 6,248,393.

(60) Provisional application No. 60/076,370, filed on Feb. 27, 1998.

Dye, James M. Compliance Engineering 17(3), pp 138 and 140-145, 2000.*

Kleiner, J. Vinyl Technol. vol. 4 No. 4, Dec. 1982, pp 157-159.*

(List continued on next page.)

(51) **Int. Cl.⁷** **B32B 5/14; B32B 5/18; H05K 9/00**

Primary Examiner—Erma Cameron

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm*—John A. Molnar, Jr.

(52) **U.S. Cl.** **428/457; 361/818**

(57) ABSTRACT

(58) **Field of Search** 428/457; 361/818


A flame retardant, electromagnetic interference (EMI) shielding gasket construction. The construction includes a resilient core member formed of a foamed elastomeric material, an electrically-conductive fabric member surrounding the outer surface of the core member, and a flame retardant layer coating at least a portion of the interior surface of the fabric member. The flame retardant layer is effective to afford the gasket construction with a flame class rating of V-0 under Underwriter's Laboratories (UL) Standard No. 94.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,178,410 A	12/1979	Tomita
4,396,661 A	8/1983	George et al.
4,447,484 A	5/1984	Slosberg et al.
4,489,126 A	12/1984	Holtrop et al.
4,531,994 A	7/1985	Holtrop et al.
4,540,617 A	9/1985	Kawanishi et al.

10 Claims, 3 Drawing Sheets



US 6,777,095 B2Page 2

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,089,325	A	2/1992	Covey
5,105,056	A	4/1992	Hoge, Jr. et al.
5,122,411	A	6/1992	Iwamoto et al.
5,202,536	A	4/1993	Buonanno
5,204,403	A	4/1993	Furuta et al.
5,266,354	A	11/1993	Tohyama et al.
5,612,092	A	3/1997	Strenger et al.
5,614,306	A	3/1997	Jobe et al.
5,635,252	A	6/1997	Fraser, Jr. et al.
5,641,544	A	6/1997	Melancon et al.
5,674,606	A	10/1997	Powers, Jr.
5,700,532	A	12/1997	Chiou

OTHER PUBLICATIONS




Underwriters Laboratories, Inc. letter dated Sep. 20, 1995.
Copy of the International Application Published Under the
Patent Cooperation Treaty in International Patent Applica-
tion No. PCT/US00/20609 (WO 01/10182) entitled,
“Method and Apparatus for Manufacturing A Plame Retar-
dant EMI Gasket”.

Copy of International Search Report for PCT Case No.
PCT/US99/04100, Jan. 7, 1999.

Chomerics Parker Hannifin Seals Catalog dated 1997 for
Soft-Shield Low Closure force EMI Gaskets.

Monsanto Electron Metalized Materials dated Sep. 12, 1995.

* cited by examiner



U.S. Patent

Aug. 17, 2004

Sheet 2 of 3

US 6,777,095 B2




Fig. 4





Fig. 5



CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS

PARKER-HANNIFIN CORPORATION

(b) County Of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Cuyahoga County, Ohio
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS AND TELEPHONE NUMBER)

Rudolph E. Hutz (#484)
Harold Pezzner (#479)
Francis DiGiovanni (#3189)
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP
1007 N. Orange Street, P.O. Box 2207
Wilmington, Delaware 19899

Telephone: (302) 658-9141

DEFENDANTS

S-TECH CO., LTD.

County Of Residence Of First Listed Defendant: _____
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE
LAND INVOLVED.

ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" In One Box Only)

- ☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff ☒ 3 Federal Question
(U.S. Government Not a Party)
- ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant ☐ 4 Diversity
(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- | | PTF | DEF | | PTF | DEF |
|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Citizen of This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1 | Incorporated or Principal
Place of Business in This State | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Citizen of Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | <input type="checkbox"/> 2 | Incorporated and Principal
Place of Business in Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| Citizen or Subject of a
Foreign Country | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | <input type="checkbox"/> 3 | Foreign Nation | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 |

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" In One Box Only)

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury	PERSONAL INJURY <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 370 Other Fraud <input type="checkbox"/> 371 Truth in Lending <input type="checkbox"/> 380 Other Personal Property Damage <input type="checkbox"/> 385 Property Damage Product Liability	<input type="checkbox"/> 610 Agriculture <input type="checkbox"/> 620 Other Food & Drug <input type="checkbox"/> 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 <input type="checkbox"/> 630 Liquor Laws <input type="checkbox"/> 640 R.R. & Truck <input type="checkbox"/> 650 Airline Regs. <input type="checkbox"/> 660 Occupational Safety/Health <input type="checkbox"/> 690 Other LABOR <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Mgmt Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 730 Labor/Mgmt Reporting & Disclosure Act <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS - Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 810 Selective Service <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/ Commodities/ Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 892 Economic Stabilization Act <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 894 Energy Allocation Act <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
REAL PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	CIVIL RIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/ Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 444 Welfare <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITIONS <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence HABEAS CORPUS: <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition			

V. ORIGIN (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

- ☒ 1 Original Proceeding ☐ 2 Removed from State Court ☐ 3 Remanded from Appellate Court ☐ 4 Reinstated or Reopened ☐ 5 Transferred from another district (specify) ☐ 6 Multidistrict Litigation ☐ 7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
35 U.S.C. § 271 (a) through (c)
Brief description of cause:
Patent infringement

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:

☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23

DEMAND: In excess of \$75,000.00

CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:
JURY DEMAND: ☒ YES ☐ NO

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY

(See instructions):


JUDGE KAJ

DOCKET NUMBER Civ. No. 03-787

DATE

December 8, 2006
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD



Francis DiGiovanni (#3189)

RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS-44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

I. (a) Plaintiffs – Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.

(b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)

(c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.

IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.

V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553
Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.

VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

FILED
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
2006 DEC 11 AM 10:02

AO FORM 85 RECEIPT (REV. 9/04)

United States District Court for the District of Delaware

Civil Action No. 06-755


ACKNOWLEDGMENT
OF RECEIPT FOR AO FORM 85

NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY OF A
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
TO EXERCISE JURISDICTION

I HEREBY ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT OF 2 COPIES OF AO FORM 85.

DEC 11 2006

(Date forms issued)



(Signature of Party or their Representative)

Chris Warming

(Printed name of Party or their Representative)

Note: Completed receipt will be filed in the Civil Action